1/27 COMPLAIM

```
CUSHING, CULLINAN, HANCOCK & ROTHERT
   100 Bush Street, Suite 1600
   San Francisco, California
   Telephone: 415 - 981-5550
   Attorneys for Plaintiffs
              IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 8
              IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
 9
10 LAURENCE DOWD, HAROLD L. HOLLINGSWORTH,
   ROBERT LUALHATI, GORDON M. SEELY,
                                                   No. 599912
11 WILLIAM R. WARD, HARVEY E. WILLIAMS,
   ARTHUR MEJIA, JR. and GERALD B. WYNESS,
12 on behalf of themselves and all others
   similarly situated,
13
                               Plaintiffs,
                                                     COMPLAINT FOR
         VS.
                                                   ) TEMPORARY RESTRAINING
   STUDENTS FOR A DEMOCRATIC SOCIETY,
                                                   ORDER, ORDER TO SHOW
15 also known as SDS, an unincorporated
                                                   ) CAUSE, PRELIMINARY
   association; TODD A. GILLIN, indivi-
                                                   ) INJUNCTION, AND
16 dually and as an officer of said SDS;
                                                     PERMANENT INJUNCTION
   GORDON DiMARCO, individually and as an
17 officer of said SDS; HOWLE FORMAN,
   individually and as an officer of said
18 SDS; ELENA DILLON, individually and as
   an officer of said SDS; BLACK STUDENTS
19 UNION, also known as BSU, an unincor-
   porated association; BRIDGES RANDALL,
20 individually and as an officer of said BSU; JACK H. ALEXIS, individually and
21 as an officer of said BSU; LEROY GOODWIN, individually and as an officer of said
22 BSU; JERRY VANARDO, individually and as an
   officer of said BSU; BENNY STEWART,
23 individually and as an officer of said
BSU; NATHAN HARE, individually and as
24 an officer of said BSU; GLORY LOWERY,
    individually and as an officer of said
 25 BSU; THIRD WORLD LIBERATION FRONT,
   also known as TWLF, an unincorporated
 26 association; HARI DILLIN, individually
   and as an officer of said TWLF; ROGER
 27 ALVARADO, individually and as an officer
    of said TWLF; ORGANIZATION OF STUDENT
 28 LIBRARY EMPLOYEES, also known as OSLE,
    an unincorporated association; STEPHEN
 29 LEE, individually and as an officer of
    said OSLE; ROGER THOMPSON, individually
 30 and as an officer of said OSLE;
    PANTHER PARTY, an unincorporated
 31 association; GEORGE MASON MURRAY,
    individually and as an officer of said
 32 BLACK PANTHER PARTY; BEN STEWART,
```

```
1 individually and as an officer of said
  BLACK PANTHER PARTY; PEACE AND FREEDOM
  PARTY, an unincorporated association;
  BILL HANKIN, individually and as an
  officer of said PEACE AND FREEDOM PARTY;
  COMMUNITY STRIKE SUPPORT COALITION,
  an unincorporated association; HELEN
  COOK, individually and as an officer
  of said COMMUNITY STRIKE SUPPORT
  COALITION; LARRY HYINK, individually
  and as an officer of said COMMUNITY
  STRIKE SUPPORT COALITION; UNITED LATINS
  FOR JUSTICE, an unincorporated association;
  VICTOR MARTINEZ, individually and as an
8 officer of said UNITED LATINS FOR JUSTICE;
  MEXICAN-AMERICAN POLITICAL ASSOCIATION,
9 also known as MAPA, an unincorporated
association; MILTON ORTEGA, individually
10 and as an officer of said MAPA; LA CAUSA,
  an unincorporated association;
                                   ARMANDO
11 VALDEZ, individually and as an officer
  of said LA CAUSA; CHINESE FOR SOCIAL
12 ACTION, an unincorporated association;
  MASON WONG, individually and as an
13 officer of said CHINESE FOR SOCIAL
  ACTION; YOUNG SOCIALIST ALLIANCE, an
14 unincorporated association; HELEN
  MEYERS, individually and as an officer
15 of said YOUNG SOCIALIST ALLIANCE;
  FRIENDS OF THE IRISH REPUBLICAN ARMY,
16 an unincorporated association; VINCENT
  O'LEARY, individually and as an officer
17 of said FRIENDS OF THE IRISH REPUBLICAN
  ARMY; STRIKE SUPPORT ORGANIZING COMMITTEE,
18 an unincorporated association; JIM
  GILLETT, individually and as an officer
19 of said STRIKE SUPPORT ORGANIZING
  COMMITTEE; HOWARD COHEN, individually
20 and as an officer of said STRIKE SUPPORT
   ORGANIZING COMMITTEE; ASSOCIATED
21 STUDENTS OF SAN FRANCISCO STATE, an
  unincorporated association; JOHN WEBB,
22 individually and as an officer of said
  ASSOCIATED STUDENTS OF SAN FRANCISCO
23 STATE; DONALD RAY SMOTHERS; WILLIAM
   STANTON; EUGENE MARTINEZ; RAYMOND
24 HAMILTON; BRUCE HARTFORD; TIM COATES;
   DR. DICK FEIN;
                  DALE HUGHES;
25 CLEVELAND;
              CECIL WASHINGTON; ANITA
   PALM; ARNOLD TOWNSEND; DAVID MILLER;
26 RALPH ANSPACK; AL WONG; TONY MIRANDA;
  DIANA LOPEZ; ALEX SEVIA; ROSA HIGGS;
27 RON VARNEY; EUGENE MARCHI; ELAINE
28 PLAISANCE; DR. CARLETON
29 ///
30 ///
31 ///
32///
```

1 GOODLETT; FIRST DOE ASSOCIATION through FIFTIETH DOE ASSOCIATION, unincorporated associations; FIRST DOE COMMITTEE through FIFTIETH DOE COMMITTEE, unincorporated 3 associations; FIRST DOE CORPORATION through) FIFTIETH DOE CORPORATION, corporations, and 4 FIRST DOE THROUGH ONE THOUSANDTH DOE, individually and as members of FIRST DOE 5 ASSOCIATION through FIFTIETH DOE ASSOCIA-TION, FIRST DOE COMMITTEE through FIFTIETH 6 DOE COMMITTEE, and/or FIRST DOE CORPORA-TION through FIFTIETH DOE CORPORATION, Defendants.

l Plaintiffs complain on behalf of themselves and

2 all others similarly situated who may come in, seek relief,

3 and contribute to the expenses of this action, and for a FIRST

4 CAUSE OF ACTION allege that:

5 I.

6 At all times mentioned herein, plaintiffs were and

7 are members of the faculty of San Francisco State College in

8 San Francisco, California, and desirous of teaching classes

9 at and fulfilling their obligations to that College. The

10 issues stated in this action are of common and general interest

11 to all similarly situated faculty members at San Francisco State

12 College and affect all such faculty members in the same manner

13 as plaintiffs are affected. Such persons are so numerous,

14 amounting to several hundred instructors and professors, that

15 it is impracticable to bring all of these persons before the

16 Court as individual plaintiffs. Therefore, these plaintiffs

17 sue for and on behalf of themselves and of all other similarly

18 situated persons at San Francisco State College.

19

Plaintiffs are informed and believe and therefore
21 allege that each and every defendant listed in Exhibit "A",
22 which Exhibit is by this reference incorporated herein as
23 though set forth in full, is and was at all times herein
24 mentioned an unincorporated association composed of a great number
25 of persons, their exact names and numbers being unknown to plain26 tiffs, and plaintiffs, therefore, sue the members of said
27 associations by their common name and individually. Plaintiffs

28 pray leave to insert the names of the members of said

29 associations herein when and if they become known.

Each and every defendant listed in Exhibit "B", which

31 Exhibit is by this reference incorporated herein, as though set

32 forth in full, at all times mentioned herein is and was

1 an officer in the association or group listed beside his name

2 in Exhibit "B", and said defendant is sued herein both indivi-

3 dually and in his capacity as an officer of said association

4 or group.

5 Each and every other individual defendant named

6 herein is sued in his individual capacity.

7

8 Plaintiffs are ignorant of the true names of

9 defendants FIRST DOE to ONE THOUSAND DOE, inclusive, who are

10 individuals, of defendants FIRST DOE association to FIFTIETH

11 DOE association, inclusive, which are unincorporated associa-

12 tions, of defendants FIRST DOE committee to FIFTIETH DOE

13 committee, inclusive, which are unincorporated associations, and

14 of defendants FIRST DOE corporation to FIFTIETH DOE corporation,

15 inclusive, which are corporations, and, therefore, plaintiffs

16 sue each and all of said defendants by said names, which are fic-

17 titious, and pray that, when the true name of any of them is

18 ascertained, it may be inserted herein in lieu of said fictitious

19 name. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege

20 that each and every defendant designated herein by a fictitious

21 name is and was at all times mentioned herein a party to the

22 activities complained of hereinafter.

IV.

24 From about November 6, 1968 to about December 13,

25 1968, when the College was closed prematurely to prevent further

26 disturbance, defendants and each of them engaged in and parti-

27 cipated in the following acts and conduct, for the purpose of

and with the intent of preventing plaintiffs from teaching classes

and from fulfilling their obligations to San Francisco State

30 College:

23

Picketing and massing of large and threatening groups

of persons thereby preventing ingress and egress to and from campus

- of San Francisco State College, which is public property owned
- by the State of California;
- Picketing and massing of large and threatening
- 4 groups of persons, thereby preventing ingress and egress to and
- 5 from public buildings, public classrooms, and public hallways
- 6 within the campus of San Francisco State College;
- Positioning moving picket lines of tightly
- g grouped individuals in front of entrances to public buildings
- 9 within the campus of San Francisco State College, thereby
- 10 preventing ingress and egress to and from said buildings;
- Entering classrooms when classes were in session
- 12 and disrupting these class sessions by declaring that the
- 15 classes were dismissed and by demanding that students, and
- 14 faculty, including the plaintiffs, leave the classroom, by
- 15 taking photographs of those who failed to yeild to their
- 16 demands in order to intimidate them, by painting or writing
- 17 slogans upon the walls of the classrooms, by damaging or
- 18 disorganizing furniture in the classrooms, and by assaulting
- 19 or battering members of the faculty and students who failed to
- 20 yield to their demands;
- 21 5. Subjecting plaintiffs and faculty members
- 22 similarly situated to threats of violence, physical injury,
- 23 and damage to property, and other punishment for teaching
- 24 classes or seeking to fulfill their obligations to the College;
- 6. Detonating explosive bombs and fire bombs on
- 26 the campus of San Francisco State College in the public
- 27 buildings, public hallways and public classrooms of said
- 28 College which seriously endangered the health and safety of
- 29 plaintiffs and of the public, which caused plaintiffs to
- 30 fear to teach classes at San Francisco State College;
- Detonating stink bombs with foul and offensive
- odors in the public buildings, public hallways, and public

- 1 classrooms of San Francisco State College, which seriously
- 2 offended the senses of plaintiffs and of the public, which
- 3 interfered with the ability of plaintiffs to teach classes
- 4 at San Francisco State College, and which interfered with the
- 5 ability of students in classes taught by plaintiffs to be proper-
- 6 ly attentive in classes;
- Marching through public buildings on the San
- 8 Francisco State College campus, chanting slogans in a loud
- 9 and disturbing manner, pounding on walls, opening doors of
- 10 rooms, and beating upon noise-making objects, all while classes
- 11 were in session, thereby preventing plaintiffs from being able
- 12 to concentrate upon their normal academic pursuits;
- 9. Holding loud, threatening, raucus, noisy
- 14 rallies and demonstrations on the campus of San Francisco
- 15 State College, which disturbed the peace and tranquillity
- 16 of the campus, disturbed classes held on the campus, and
- 17 prevented both the plaintiffs and the general public from
- 18 enjoying the peace and quiet from which they would otherwise
- 19 have benefited;
- 20 10. Using sound amplification devices on the cam-
- 21 pus of San Francisco State College to shout loud, boisterous,
- 22 vulgar, obscene, indecent and threatening language in such a
- 23 manner that plaintiffs were maliciously and extremely dis-
- 24 turbed, fearful for their personal safety, and seriously
- 25 inconvenienced;
- 26 ll. Standing outside rooms in which classes were
- being held and chanting slogans and threats, thereby making
- 28 it impossible for said classes to continue and preventing
- 29
 normal academic pursuits;
- 12. Threatening, assaulting, battering and generally
- intimidating faculty, students, and other members of the
- public on the campus of San Francisco State College, which

- 1 seriously endangered the safety, health, and well being of
- 2 the plaintiffs and of other members of the public;
- 3 13. Destroying windows, furniture, buildings and
- 4 other public property at San Francisco State College, which
- 5 interfered with the use of the property at San Francisco State
- 6 College by the plaintiffs and by the public.
- 7 V
- From on or about January 6, 1969, to on or about
- 9 January 23, 1969, defendants and each of them, engaged in and
- 10 participated in the following acts and conduct for the pur-
- 11 pose of and the intent of preventing plaintiffs from teaching
- 12 classes and from fulfilling their obligations to San Francisco
- 13 State College;
- Picketing and massing of large and threatening
- 15 groups of persons, thereby preventing ingress and egress to
- 16 and from the campus of San Francisco State College, which is
- 17 public property owned by the State of California;
- Positioning moving picket lines of tightly
- 19 grouped individuals in front of entrances to the campus of
- 20 San Francisco State College, thereby preventing ingress and
- 21 egress to and from said campus;
- 3. Subjecting plaintiffs and faculty members
- 23 similarly situated to threats of violence, physical injury, and
- 24 damage to property, and other punishment for teaching classes
- 25 or seeking to fulfill their obligations to San Francisco
- 26 State College;
- Placing and/or detonating explosive bombs
- 28 and fire bombs on the campus of San Francisco State College
- 29 in the public buildings, public hallways and public class-
- 30 rooms of said College which seriously endangered the health
- 31 and safety of plaintiffs and the public, which caused
- 32 plaintiffs to fear to teach classes at San Francisco State

- 1 College;
- 5. Detonating stink bombs with foul and offensive
- 3 odors in the public buildings, public hallways, and public
- 4 classrooms of San Francisco State College which seriously
- 5 offended the senses of plaintiffs and of the public, which
- 6 interfered with the ability of plaintiffs to teach classes
- 7 at San Francisco State College, and which interfered with the
- 8 ability of students in classes taught by plaintiffs to be properly
- 9 attentive in classes;
- 10 6. Using sound amplification devices around the
- 11 perimeter of the campus of San Francisco State College to
- 12 shout loud, boisterous, vulgar, obscene, indecent and threaten-
- 13 ing language in such a manner that plaintiffs were maliciously
- 14 and extremely disturbed, fearful for their personal safety, and
- 15 seriously inconvenienced;
- 7. Threatening, assaulting, battering and generally
- 17 intimidating students, teachers and other members of the public
- 18 attempting to enter the campus of San Francisco State College,
- 19 which seriously endangered the safety, health, and well-being
- 20 of the plaintiffs and of other members of the public;
- 21 VI.
- On January 23, 1969 defendants and each of them
- 23 resumed the activities complained of in Paragraph IV and
- 24 continued the activities complained of in Paragraph V.
- 25 VII.
- 26 Each and all of the foregoing acts and conduct
- interfere with the tranquillity, peace, use, and enjoyment
- 28 of the campus of San Francisco State College and prevent
- 29 plaintiffs from enjoying the benefits of association with
- 30 San Francisco State College, which they would otherwise
- 31 receive, and prevent plaintiffs from entering and exiting
- 32 to and from the campus and buildings at San Francisco State

1 College, teaching uninterrupted classes, and enjoying an

2 atmosphere of academic freedom. Plaintiffs have been especially

3 injured in that their academic careers have been interrupted,

4 and their health, education and welfare have been impaired.

5 VIII.

6 Defendants have threatened to and do intend to and will

7 continue their conduct as herein described unless restrained by

8 this Court.

19

9 IX.

10 Each and all of the aforementioned acts and conduct
11 of each of the defendants have been planned and perpetrated

12 in order to intimidate plaintiffs, in order to cause fear of

13 physical molestation, libel, slander, and other injuries to

14 plaintiffs and to the public, in order to prevent plaintiffs

and other members of the public from entering and leaving the

16 buildings, classrooms and campus of San Francisco State College,

and in order to interfere with the peace, tranquillity, health,

X.

18 and safety of plaintiffs and of the public.

20 As a direct and proximate result of the acts and 21 conduct of each of the defendants as aforesaid plaintiffs as 22 a class have been injured in the following manner: Classes 23 which plaintiffs would otherwise have taught have been 24 cancelled or postponed or rescheduled at inconvenient times 25 or places; plaintiffs have been made unable to reach classes 26 or have been made tardy to their classes at San Francisco 27 State College; some plaintiffs have sustained injuries to per-28 son and to property; plaintiffs have been unable to make them-29 selves heard in classes and have been made unable properly to 30 retain the attention of students in classes; and plaintiffs 31 have been made unable to use many of the common, public

32

facilities at San Francisco State College. As a further

+	direct and proximate result of the conduct of each of the
2	defendants, the program of instruction at San Francisco State
3	College has been delayed, necessitating the delay of vacation
4	periods during which plaintiffs would otherwise have been able
5	to complete other business, professional, and personal
6	obligations. As a further direct and proximate result of the
7	conduct of each of the defendants, the plaintiffs herein have
8	been burdened by new administrative tasks and handicapped by
9	administrative delays, and their professional reputations and
10	academic careers have been damaged. If defendants accomplish
11	their announced goal of closing San Francisco State College,
12	the lives and academic careers of plaintiffs will be disrupted
13	for the foreseeable future. It is impossible accurately to
14	measure the damage caused by the acts and conduct of each of
15	defendants in terms of dollars and cents, and therefore
16	plaintiffs have no speedy or adequate remedy at law.
17	XI.
18	Unless the conduct of defendants and each of them is
19	immediately restrained and enjoined, defendants will continue
20	to interrupt the educational process at San Francisco State
21	College, will continue to deprive plaintiffs of the right to
22	teach classes at San Francisco State College, and will
23	continue to cause harm to plaintiffs and to the public. The
24	damage from said acts of defendants is incalculable and
25	irreparable, and plaintiffs have no speedy or adequate remedy
26	at law.
27	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
28	As and for a SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION, plaintiffs
29	allege that:
30	I.
31	Plaintiffs reallege and by this reference incorporate
32	

- 1 herein Paragraphs I through III and VII through XI of the FIRST
- CAUSE OF ACTION.
- 3 II.
- 4 On or about November 6, 1968 defendants and each
- of them entered into an unlawful scheme and conspiracy to bar
- entrance and exit to plaintiffs and other faculty members to
- and from classrooms and buildings at San Francisco State
- College, prevent the teaching of classes at San Francisco
- State College by plaintiffs and other faculty members, disrupt
- 10 the professional careers of plaintiffs and other faculty members
- 11 at San Francisco State College, and endanger the lives and well
- 12 being of plaintiffs and of the public.
- III. 13
- In furtherance of said scheme and conspiracy, 14
- defendants and each of them engaged in and participated in the 15
- acts and conduct alleged and set forth in Paragraphs IV, V and 16
- VI of the FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION, and, by this reference, plaintiffs 17
- incorporate said paragraphs herein. 18
- WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray that defendants, and 19
- 20 each of them, the members of defendant unincorporated
- associations and each of their officers, agents and representatives,
- 22 and all of the other persons acting in concert with defendants
- who are not named herein, but who, in any manner, shall have 23
- or receive knowledge of the existence of such order or
- 25 injunction, collectively and individually be restrained and e
- enjoined as follows: 26
- 27 From obstructing in any manner any entrance to
- 28 or exit from the campus of San Francisco State College or
- 29 the sidewalks, pathways, or streets therein or adjacent thereto;
- 30 From picketing, except as stated in Paragraph 6
- 31 herein, gathering, massing, demonstrating, marching, congregating,
- or rallying anywhere on the campus of San Francisco State

- 1 College or the sidewalks, pathways or streets therein or
- adjacent thereto other than on the football field, and then
- 3 only between the hours of 12 o'clock Noon and 2 o'clock P.M.;
- From obstructing in any manner any entrance to
- 5 or exit from the buildings on the campus of San Francisco State
- 6 College;
- From obstructing in any manner any entrance to
- 8 or exit from any room, office, auditorium, passageway, or area
- 9 within any building on the campus of San Francisco State
- 10 College;
- 11 5. From hindering the free movement of any person
- 12 in any manner in any hallway within any building on the campus
- 13 of San Francisco State College;
- From stationing, placing or maintaining in
- 15 excess of two (2) pickets at any one time at any entrances to
- 16 the campus of San Francisco State College;
- From entering any classroom, laboratory,
- 18 auditorium, library or meeting place on the campus of San
- 19 Francisco State College for the purpose of disrupting classes,
- 20 examinations, studies, meetings or activities approved by the
- 21 President or Acting President of San Francisco State College;
- 8. From threatening, harassing, molesting, obstructing,
- 23 intimidating or attempting to threaten, harass, molest, obstruct,
- 24 or intimidate, or using force or violence against any person
- 25 who attends, attempts to attend, or desires to attend any
- 26 class or meeting at San Francisco State College;
- From threatening, harassing, intimidating, or
- 28 attempting to threaten, harass or intimidate any person who
- 29 enters or leaves the campus of San Francisco State College or
- 30 any building, classroom, auditorium, meeting place or office
- 31 on said campus;
- 32 10. From obstructing or in any manner interfering

- 1 with the use of public facilities or common buildings on the
- 2 campus of San Francisco State College, including but not limited
- 3 to the library, book store, cafeteria, health center, dormitories,
- 4 rest rooms or lounges on said campus;
- 5 11. From detonating or possessing on the campus of
- 6 San Francisco State College any explosive device, noise-making
- 7 device, fire bombs, stink bombs, or any other device capable of
- 8 emitting any substance or noise which produces or could produce
- 9 physical discomfort or permanent injury to persons on the campus
- 10 of San Francisco State College or which disrupts classes,
- 11 examinations, meetings on said campus;
- 12. From making noise of any sort at any place on the
- 13 campus of San Francisco State College which interferes with the
- 14 normal conduct of classes, examinations, or meetings authorized
- 15 by the President or Acting President of San Francisco State College;
- 16 l3. From using any public address system, bullhorn, or
- 17 other sound amplification system on the campus of San Francisco
- 18 State College, except as authorized by the President or Acting
- 19 President thereof;
- 20 14. From destroying or attempting to destroy or
- 21 damage any public or private property on the campus of San
- 22 Francisco State College;
- 23 15. From committing or attempting to commit any act
- 24 which interferes with or which is intended to interfere with the
- 25 normal conduct of academic pursuits at San Francisco State
- 26 College.
- 27 Plaintiffs further pray that:
- 28 1. The Court make an order directing defendants
- 29 and each of them to appear and show cause at the time and place
- 30 so ordered why they and each of them should not be enjoined and
- 31 restrained from doing any of the things hereinabove mentioned
- 32 during the pendency of the above-entitled action;

	2. A temporary restraining order be granted enjoining
2	and restraining the above-named defendants and each of them, the
3	members of defendant unincorporated associations, their officers
4	agents, and representatives, and each and all other persons
5	acting in concert with defendants who are not named herein but
6	who, in any manner, have or receive actual knowledge of the
7	existence of such order in any manner, from doing any of the
8	things hereinabove mentioned;
9	3. Upon hearing of the order to show cause, a pre-
10	liminary injunction be granted herein restraining defendants and
	each of the members of defendant unincorporated associations,
	their officers, agents, and representatives, and each and all
	other persons acting in concert with defendants who are not
	named herein but who have or receive, in any manner, knowledge
	of the existence of such injunction, from doing any of the
16	things hereinabove mentioned;
17	4. A permanent injunction be granted restraining
18	defendants and each of them, the members of defendant unincor-
19	porated associations, their officers, agents, and representative
20	
21	who are not named herein but who have or receive, in any manner,
22	knowledge of the existence of such injunction, from doing any
23	of the things hereinabove mentioned;
24	5. For costs of suit herein and attorney's fees;
25	6. For such other and further relief as may be meet
26	and just in the premises.
27	CUSHING, CULLINAN, HANCOCK & ROTHERT
28	
29	
30	BY Vincen Moullinan
31	Vincent Cullinan
32	Attornous for Dlaintiff

DEFENDANT ASSOCIATIONS

- 1. Students for a Democratic Society, also known as SDS
- 2. Black Students Union, also known as BSU
- 3. Third World Liberation Front, also known as TWLF
- 4. Organized Student Library Employees, also known as OSLE
- 5. Black Panther Party
- 8. Peace and Freedom Party
- 9. Community Strike Support Coalition
- 10. United Latins for Justice
- 11. Mexican American Political Association, also known as MAPA
- 12. La Causa
- 13. Chinese for Social Action
- 14. Young Socialist Alliance
- 15. Friends of the Irish Republican Army
- 16. Strike Support Organizing Committee
- 17. Associated Students of San Francisco State

DEFENDANT OFFICERS

- 1. Bridges Randall
- 2. Jack H. Alexis
- 3. Leroy Goodwin
- 4. Jerry Vanardo
- 5. Benny Stewart
- 6. Nathan Hare
- Tr. Glory Lowery
- 8. Hari Dillin
- 9. Roger Alvarado
- 10. Todd A. Gillin
- 11. Gordon DiMarco
- 12. Howie Forman
- 13. Elena Dillon
- 14. Bill Hankin
- 15. George Mason Murray
- 16. Ben Stewart
- 17. Mason Wong
- 18. Helen Meyers
- 19. Helen Cook
- 20. Larry Hyink

DEFENDANT ASSOCIATIONS

Black Students Union

Third World Liberation Front

Third World Liberation Front

Students for a Democratic Society

Peace and Freedom Party

Black Panther Party

Black Panther Party

Chinese for Social Action

Young Socialist Alliance

Community Strike Support Coalition

Community Strike Support Coalition

24. Victor Martinez

25. Milton Ortega

Armando Valdez 26.

Jim Gillett 27.

Stephen Lee 28.

Roger Thompson 29.

United Latins for Justice

Mexican-American Political Assn.

La Causa

Strike Support Committee

Organization of Student Library Employees

Organization of Student Library Employees

DEFENDANT OFFICERS

- 30. John Webb
- 31. Vincent O'Leary
- 32. Howard Cohen

DEFENDANT ASSOCIATIONS

Associated Students of San Francisco State

Friends of the Irish Republican Army

Strike Support Organizing Committee

AFFIDAVIT OF EDWIN DUERR

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO)

I, EDWIN DUERR, say:

I am employed by San Francisco State College. My business address is 1600 Holloway Avenue, San Francisco, California.

At about 9:00 a.m. on the morning of January 23, 1969, information came to my attention which indicated that there would possibly be a large demonstration on our campus at San Francisco State College at around noon. Later in the morning at about 10:30 a.m. there was a noticeable increase of picketing activity. For example, in front of the library the usual five to twelve pickets swelled to a number of about seventy. I left campus between 10:45 and 12:10. When I returned, after 12:10, the pickets and other persons had gathered around the speakers stand in the center of the campus and loud speakers were blaring again. Both the holding of demonstrations and the use of loud speakers were, of course, against the orders of the administration. The crowd numbered about five hundred persons - they were harranguing in a way similar to past disruptions. The statements which were reported to have been made included threats to close down the campus; in similar prior demonstrations, violence and distruction of property had resulted under these circumstances. The reports which were given to me indicated that the demonstrators were stirring the students to take action again. The crowd was surging back and forth and

obviously getting out of control.

At 12:20 an announcement was read over the loud speaker system directing the crowd to disperse because the assembly at the speaker's stand and in the center of the campus was illegal.

Another announcement was made to the same effect at approximately 12:30 followed by another announcement immediately afterwards.

The crowd refused to disperse. Arrests started at 12:40. There was no indication that the crowd would have dispersed if arrests had not been made. In the past, when such demonstrations occurred, the demonstrators paraded through the campus breaking windows, shouting, and destroying property.

While the police were making arrests, a number of the demonstrators, who had not been caught in the encirclement of police, advanced to the library and started throwing rocks at the police. The police retreated into the library and some of the students dispersed. This incident indicated to me that more serious violence would have occurred if arrests had not been made. Also individuals advised me in advance that they had been warned not to be on campus because violence would occur.

I do swear under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated this 27th day of January, 1969, at San Francisco, California.

Edwin Duerr

1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 2 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCOX 3 The undersigned, being by me first duly sworn, deposes 4 and says: 5 That he is a member of the faculty at San Francisco 6 State College and one of the plaintiffs in the above-entitled 7 action; that he has read the foregoing COMPLAINT FOR TEMPORARY 8 RESTRAINING ORDER, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE, PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION 9 AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION and knows the contents thereof; and 10 that the same is true of his own knowledge, except as to the 11 matters which are therein stated upon information or belief, and 12 as to those matters, he believes it to be true. 13 14 15 16 17 Subscribed and sworn to before me 18 this 27th day of January, 1969. ANN FERGUSON NOTARY PUBLIC-CALIFORNIA CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 19 Ann Ferguson, Notary Public in and 21 for the City and County of San Francisco, California. 22 My commission expires April 16, 1971. 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCOX
3	The undersigned, being by me first duly sworn, deposes
4	and says:
5	That he is a member of the faculty at San Francisco
6	State College and one of the plaintiffs in the above-entitled
7	action; that he has read the foregoing COMPLAINT FOR TEMPORARY
8	RESTRAINING ORDER, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE, PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
9	AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION and knows the contents thereof; and
10	that the same is true of his own knowledge, except as to the
11	matters which are therein stated upon information or belief, and
12	as to those matters, he believes it to be true.
13	
14	
15	Solver Treathate
16	
17	Subscribed and sworn to before me
18	this 27th day of January, 1969.
19	OLY AND COUNTY OF CAN PRAHODOO
20	Un Lerguson
21	Ann Ferguson, Notary Public in and for the City and County of San Francisco, California.
22	My commission expires April 16, 1971.
23	
24	
2 5	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA X ss.
2	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCOX
3	The undersigned, being by me first duly sworn, deposes
4	and says:
5	That he is a member of the faculty at San Francisco
6	State College and one of the plaintiffs in the above-entitled
7	action; that he has read the foregoing COMPLAINT FOR TEMPORARY
8	RESTRAINING ORDER, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE, PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
9	AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION and knows the contents thereof; and
10	that the same is true of his own knowledge, except as to the
11	matters which are therein stated upon information or belief, and
12	as to those matters, he believes it to be true.
13	
14	fit 1
15	Autum Kujia In.
16	
17	Subscribed and sworn to before me
18	this 27th day of January, 1969.
19	The state of the s
20	Charler presum (SAN FILANCISCO)
21 for the City and County of San	for the City and County of San
22	Francisco, California. My commission expires April 16, 1971.
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCOX
3	The undersigned, being by me first duly sworn, deposes
4	and says:
5	That he is a member of the faculty at San Francisco
6	State College and one of the plaintiffs in the above-entitled
7	action; that he has read the foregoing COMPLAINT FOR TEMPORARY
8	RESTRAINING ORDER, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE, PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
9	AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION and knows the contents thereof; and
10	that the same is true of his own knowledge, except as to the
11	matters which are therein stated upon information or belief, and
12	as to those matters, he believes it to be true.
13	
14	11 ma Minh.
15	Mordon M. Seely
16	
17	Subscribed and sworn to before me
18	this 27th day of January, 1969.
19	ANN FERGUSON
20	Ann Ferguson, Notary Public in and
21	for the City and County of San Francisco, California.
22	My commission expires April 16, 1971.
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA X ss.
2	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCOX
3	The undersigned, being by me first duly sworn, deposes
4	and says:
5	That he is a member of the faculty at San Francisco
6	State College and one of the plaintiffs in the above-entitled
7	action; that he has read the foregoing COMPLAINT FOR TEMPORARY
8	RESTRAINING ORDER, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE, PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
9	AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION and knows the contents thereof; and
10	that the same is true of his own knowledge, except as to the
11	matters which are therein stated upon information or belief, and
12	as to those matters, he believes it to be true.
13	
14	-2 \mathcal{O}_1
15	William R Wars
16	
17	Subscribed and sworn to before me
18	this 27th day of January, 1969.
19	STAN WAS CORTING OF THE STAN WAS CORTING OF
20	an Jergenn
21	Ann Ferguson, Notary Public in and for the City and County of San
22 Francisco, California. My commission expires April 16, 1971.	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	

1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 2 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCOY The undersigned, being by me first duly sworn, deposes 4 and says: That he is a member of the faculty at San Francisco 6 State College and one of the plaintiffs in the above-entitled 7 action; that he has read the foregoing COMPLAINT FOR TEMPORARY 8 RESTRAINING ORDER, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE, PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION 9 AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION and knows the contents thereof; and 10 that the same is true of his own knowledge, except as to the 11 matters which are therein stated upon information or belief, and 12 as to those matters, he believes it to be true. 13 14 Gerald B. Wynics 15 16 17 Subscribed and sworn to before me 18 this 27th day of January, 1969. TGUTON. 115 19 ALTO STATE CALIFORNIA OTY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO Ann Ferguson, Notary Public in and 21 for the City and County of San Francisco, California. 22 My commission expires April 16, 1971. 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCOX
3	The undersigned, being by me first duly sworn, deposes
4	and says:
5	That he is a member of the faculty at San Francisco
6	State College and one of the plaintiffs in the above-entitled
7	action; that he has read the foregoing COMPLAINT FOR TEMPORARY
8	RESTRAINING ORDER, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE, PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
9	AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION and knows the contents thereof; and
10	that the same is true of his own knowledge, except as to the
11	matters which are therein stated upon information or belief, and
12	as to those matters, he believes it to be true.
13	
14	11
15	Hanny Ellelliam
16	
17	Subscribed and sworn to before me
18	this 27th day of January, 1969.
19	in the state of the little in
20	Unu Servicia Sin Francisco
21	Ann Ferguson, Notary Public in and for the City and County of San
22	Francisco, California. My commission expires April 16, 1971.
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	

CUSHING, CULLINAN, HANCOCK & ROTHERT 100 Bush Street, Suite 1600 San Francisco, California Telephone: 415 - 931-5550 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 5 6 7 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 10 LAURENCE DOWD, HAROLD L. HOLLINGSWORTH, ROBERT LUALHATI, GORDON M. SEELY, 11 WILLIAM R. WARD, HARVEY E. WILLIAMS, ARTHUR MEJIA, JR. and GERALD B. WYNESS, 12 on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, 13 Plaintiffs, VS. 14 STUDENTS FOR A DEMOCRATIC SOCIETY, 15 also known as SDS, an unincorporated association; TODD A. GILLIN, indivi-16 dually and as an officer of said SDS; GORDON DiMARCO, individually and as an 17 officer of said SDS; HOWIE FORMAN, individually and as an officer of said 18 SDS; ELENA DILLON, individually and as an officer of said SDS; BLACK STUDENTS 19 UNION, also known as BSU, an unincorporated association; BRIDGES RANDALL. 20 individually and as an officer of said BSU; JACK H. ALEXIS, individually and 21 as an officer of said BSU; LEROY GOODWIN, individually and as an officer of said 22 BSU; JERRY VANARDO, individually and as an officer of said BSU; BENNY STEWART, 23 individually and as an officer of said BSU; NATHAN HARE, individually and as 24 an officer of said BSU; GLORY LOWERY, individually and as an officer of said 25 BSU; THIRD WORLD LIBERATION FRONT, also known as TWLF, an unincorporated 26 association; HARI DILLIN, individually and as an officer of said TWLF; ROGER 27 ALVARADO, individually and as an officer of said TWLF; ORGANIZATION OF STUDENT 28 LIBRARY EMPLOYEES, also known as OSLE, an unincorporated association; STEPHEN 29 LEE, individually and as an officer of said OSLE; ROGER THOMPSON, individually 30 and as an officer of said OSLE; PANTHER PARTY, an unincorporated 31 association; GEORGE MASON MURRAY, individually and as an officer of said 32 BLACK PANTHER PARTY; BEN STEWART,

) No. 5999/2

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

```
1 individually and as an officer of said
  BLACK PANTHER PARTY; PEACE AND FREEDOM
2 PARTY, an unincorporated association;
  BILL HANKIN, individually and as an
3 officer of said PEACE AND FREEDOM PARTY;
  COMMUNITY STRIKE SUPPORT COALITION,
4 an unincorporated association; HELEN
  COOK, individually and as an officer
5 of said COMMUNITY STRIKE SUPPORT
  COALITION; LARRY HYINK, individually
6 and as an officer of said COMMUNITY
  STRIKE SUPPORT COALITION; UNITED LATINS
7 FOR JUSTICE, an unincorporated association;
  VICTOR MARTINEZ, individually and as an
8 officer of said UNITED LATINS FOR JUSTICE;
  MEXICAN-AMERICAN POLITICAL ASSOCIATION,
9 also known as MAPA, an unincorporated
  association; MILTON ORTEGA, individually
10 and as an officer of said MAPA; LA CAUSA,
   an unincorporated association; ARMANDO
11 VALDEZ, individually and as an officer
  of said LA CAUSA; CHINESE FOR SOCIAL
12 ACTION, an unincorporated association;
  MASON WONG, individually and as an
  officer of said CHINESE FOR SOCIAL
  ACTION;
           YOUNG SOCIALIST ALLIANCE, an
14 unincorporated association; HELEN
MEYERS, individually and as an officer of said YOUNG SOCIALIST ALLIANCE;
  FRIENDS OF THE IRISH REPUBLICAN ARMY,
16 an unincorporated association;
                                    VINCENT
O'LEARY, individually and as an officer of said FRIENDS OF THE IRISH REPUBLICAN
  ARMY; STRIKE SUPPORT ORGANIZING COMMITTEE,
18 an unincorporated association; JIM
   GILLETT, individually and as an officer
19 of said STRIKE SUPPORT ORGANIZING
   COMMITTEE; HOWARD COHEN, individually
20 and as an officer of said STRIKE SUPPORT
   ORGANIZING COMMITTEE;
                          ASSOCIATED
21 STUDENTS OF SAN FRANCISCO STATE, an
   unincorporated association; JOHN WEBB,
22 individually and as an officer of said
   ASSOCIATED STUDENTS OF SAN FRANCISCO
23 STATE; DONALD RAY SMOTHERS; WILLIAM
   STANTON; EUGENE MARTINEZ; RAYMOND
24 HAMILTON; BRUCE HARTFORD; TIM COATES;
  DR. DICK FEIN; DALE HUGHES; JOHN
25 CLEVELAND; CECIL WASHINGTON;
                                   ANITA
         ARNOLD TOWNSEND; DAVID MILLER; ANSPACK; AL WONG; TONY MIRANDA;
   PALM;
   RALPH ANSPACK; AL WONG;
   DIANA LOPEZ; ALEX SEVIA; ROSA HIGGS;
   RON VARNEY; EUGENE MARCHI; ELAINE
28 PLAISANCE; DR. CARLETON
29 ///
30 ///
31 ///
32///
```

1 GOODLETT; FIRST DOE ASSOCIATION through FIFTIETH DOE ASSOCIATION, unincorporated 2 associations; FIRST DOE COMMITTEE through FIFTIETH DOE COMMITTEE, unincorporated 3 associations; FIRST DOE CORPORATION through) FIFTIETH DOE CORPORATION, corporations, and 4 FIRST DOE THROUGH ONE THOUSANDTH DOE, individually and as members of FIRST DOE 5 ASSOCIATION through FIFTIETH DOE ASSOCIA-TION, FIRST DOE COMMITTEE through FIFTIETH 6 DOE COMMITTEE, and/or FIRST DOE CORPORA-TION through FIFTIETH DOE CORPORATION, Defendants. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 .

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

3

1

2

4	This action arises and is a result of a course of
5	conduct engaged in by defendants and those acting in concert
6	with defendants for the avowed purpose of "shutting down"
7	San Francisco State College. To accomplish this purpose,
8	defendants and those working in concert with defendants
9	engaged in a course of conduct which lasted from on or about
LO	November 6, 1968, until on or about December 13, 1968, and
11	included the acts outlined in Paragraph IV of the Complaint
12	herein. To prevent the continuation of such acts and conduct,
13	campus authorities closed San Francisco State College from
14	December 13, 1968, until January 6, 1969. From January 6, 1969.
15	under the guise of and in connection with a strike by the
16	American Federation of Teachers, defendants continued to work
17	to "shut down" San Francisco State College by harrassing,
18	molesting, intimidating, assaulting, battering and insulting
19	persons, including plaintiffs, who attempted to enter or leave
20	the campus of San Francisco State College. On January 23, 1969
21	in violation of a well-publicized order of the President of
22	San Francisco State College, of which order defendants had
23	actual knowledge, defendants and those acting in concert with
24	defendants did conduct a rally or demonstration which was part
25	of the pattern of demonstrations which was established in
26	November of 1968 and which indicates that defendants and those
27	acting in concert with defendants intend to continue in their
28	course of conduct.
29	Plaintiffs are students admitted to and attending

30 San Francisco State College and members of the faculty of that

31 institution who desire to restore a normal academic environment

32 to San Francisco State College and who desire to bring about an

```
1 immediate termination of the atmosphere of violence, terror,
2 tension, and intimidation which has been brought about as an
3 intended result of the conduct of defendants and those acting
4 in concert with defendants.
5
                   PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTE A PROPER CLASS
6
                   OF PERSONS TO BRING A CLASS ACTION
             Proper parties plaintiff to a class action are
g determined by Cal. Code of Civ. Proc. §382:
             of the parties to the action, those who
10
             are united in interest must be joined
             as plaintiffs . . . ; and when the question
11
             is one of a common or general interest, of
             many persons, or when the parties are numerous,
12
             and it is impracticable to bring them all
             before the Court, one or more may sue .
13
             for the benefit of all.
14
              In Darr v. Yellow Cab Company, 67 A.C. 708, 718, 63
15
16 Cal. Rptr. 724, 731, 433 P.2d 732, 739 (1967), the Supreme
17 Court of the State of California held that two requirements
18 must be satisfied for a class action to be a valid one:
   (1) there must be an ascertainable class; (2) there must be a
19
20 well-defined community of interest in the question of law and
21 fact involved affecting the parties to be represented.
              In the instant case, there is an ascertainable class,
22
23 composed of the students and faculty members of San Francisco
24 State College who desire to continue their normal academic
25 pursuits. All of these individuals have a common interest in
26 the termination or reduction of demonstrations and other
27 activities which have disrupted, continued to disrupt, and
28 for the foreseeable future will continue to disrupt the campus.
29 However, the size of this class of plaintiffs is so large, amount-
30 ing to several thousand different individuals, that it is im-
31 practicable, if not impossible, to bring separate actions on behalf
32 of all such individuals or to bring them all before the Court.
```

1	The conduct of the defendants and those acting in concert
2	with defendants creates a community of interest in any questions
3	of fact which may arise in this action and which affect the
4	parties to be represented. All of the plaintiffs and each
5	of those who are members of the class being represented suffer
6	the same types of injury and seek the same relief. No damages
7	are prayed for in this action. Therefore, the unique circum-
	stances presented in Weaver v. Pasadena Tournament of Roses
9	Association, 32 Cal. 2d 833, 198 P.2d 514 (1948) and of
10	Chance v. Superior Court, 58 Cal. 2d 275, 23 Cal. Rptr. 761,
	373 P.2d 849 (1962) do not prevent an injunctive remedy. In
	both of these cases, a class action was held to be improper
	because damages were sought, and each member of the purported
14	class would therefore have to appear and prove the special
15	facts which would show that he, individually, was entitled to
16	recovery of money damages.
17	Clearly, plaintiffs represent an ascertainable class
18	having a well-defined community of interest in the questions
19	of law in fact to be presented herein.
20	II
21	THE ACIS COMPLAINED OF IN
22	THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION CONSTITUTE A PUBLIC NUISANCE.
23	A public nuisance is almost anything which causes
24	inconvenience to the public in the exercise of a common
25	right. Prosser, Torts, 605 (3d Ed. 1964). Cal. Civ. Code
26	§3479 defines a nuisance as:
27	"Anything which is indecent or offensive to the senses, or an obstruction
28	to the free use of property, so as to inter- fere with the comfortable enjoyment of life
29	or property, or unlawfully obstructs the free passage or use, in the customary manner
30	of any public park, square, street or highway "
31	
32	In Cal. Civ. Code §3480, a public nuisance is defined

- 1 as a nuisance "which affects at the same time . . . any
- 2 considerable number of persons, although the extent of the
- 3 annoyance or damage inflicted upon individuals may be unequal."
- 4 Therefore, acts complained of constitute a public nuisance if
- 5 a "considerable number of persons" are affected by them and if
- 6 acts themselves fall within the broad definition of nuisance
- 7 contained in §3479.
- 8 An actionable public nuisance must affect rights common
- 9 to all those complaining, yet it need not affect or harm every
- 10 member of the public. Reinhard v. Lawrence Warehouse Co.,
- 11 41 Cal. App. 2d 741, 107 P.2d 501 (1940); Prosser, Torts,
- 12 607 (3d Ed. 1964). It is sufficient that the private
- 13 individual who maintains an action for a public nuisance has
- 14 suffered some special injury. Cal. Civ. Code §3493.
- Once it has been established that a public right has
- been invaded, injury even to a relatively small number of
- 17 persons will give rise to a valid claim for relief. Wade v.
- 18 <u>Campbell</u>, 200 Cal. App. 2d 54, 19 Cal. Rptr. 173 (1962).
- Since the class of parties plaintiff involved in this
- 20 action amounts to several thousand individuals, the acts of
- 21 which plaintiffs complain clearly affect a "considerable number
- 22 of persons." If, therefore, the acts of defendant constitute a
- 23 nuisance, those acts will be a public nuisance.
- 24 Cal. Civ. Code §3479 expressly makes the obstruction
- ²⁵ of a public park or square a nuisance. No cases have yet
- 26 extended this definition to deal with the case of an obstruction
- of a campus or school, but the rational of this aspect of the
- 28 Code section is based upon the infringement of easy access to
- 29 public places and facilities, and the obstruction of a right to
- 30 access to such public places and facilities should be found
- 31 to be within the principle of the Code section.
- Defendants are interfering with the free and unobstructed

- 1 use of and travel upon public streets and pathways. Historically,
- 2 such actions have been deemed to be actionable nuisances.
- 3 Flavio v. McKenzie, 177 Cal. App. 2d 274, 2 Cal. Rptr. 79 (1960).
- 4 Kitzman v. Newman, 230 Cal. App. 2d 715, 41 Cal. Rptr. 182 (1964).
- 5 By virtue of their contract with San Francisco State
- 6 College, the plaintiffs who are faculty members possess a right
- 7 and a duty to teach the courses for which they have been hired.
- 8 Similarly, by virtue of their contract with San Francisco State
- 9 College, the plaintiffs who are students possess a right to
- 10 attend classes and receive instruction at San Francisco State.
- 11 These rights and duties are possessed and used by the plaintiffs
- 12 to the exclusion of members of the general public, and they
- 13 therefore have ownership of those rights and duties. Cal. Civ.
- 14 Code §654. These rights and duties also amount to property.
- 15 Ibid. Cal. Civ. Code §3479 clearly states that an interference
- 16 with or obstruction of the use of property is a nuisance.
- 17 The use of loud, offensive, threatening and profane
- 18 speech, plus the uncontrolled use of amplifying equipment
- 19 by the defendants is offensive to the senses. It creates fear,
- 20 annoyance, mental distress, and physical discomfort. Each of
- 21 the actions of defendants of which plaintiffs complain herein
- 22 increases and intensifies the effect of the noise and words
- 23 created by defendants and by those acting in concert with de-
- 24 fendants upon the ordinary sensibilities of plaintiffs and of
- 25 the general public. Even if the actions of defendants had no
- 26 other effect, this offending of the senses would, by itself,
- 27 give rise to an actionable nuisance. Prosser, Torts §89
- 28 (3d Ed. 1964).
- Therefore, the acts of defendants and of those acting
- 30 in concert with defendants constitute not only a nuisance,
- 31 but also a public nuisance.
- 32 ___

III

PLAINTIFFS STANDING TO COMPLAIN OF THIS PUBLIC NUISANCE.

OF THIS PUB

1

2

3

Plaintiffs may complain, for they have been injured and 4 the law will provide a remedy. Tucker v. Watkins, 251 Cal. 5 App. 2d 327, 59 Cal. Rptr. 453 (1967). Plaintiff professors have contractually obtained rights and duties with respect to their continued ability to teach classes. Similarly, plaintiff 8 students have obtained contractual rights to attend and to 9 continue to receive classes, instruction, and education at 10 San Francisco State College. Out of these relationships have 11 arisen valuable property rights which are now being infringed 12 by defendants. Unless this court protects the right of plaintiff 13 students freely to attend classes and protects the right of 14 plaintiff faculty members to teach their classes without 15 intimidation and without tortious or illegal interference, 16 both students and members of the faculty will continue to sustain 17 special and irreparable injury. The Courts of this State have 18 granted injunctions to prevent or restrain unjustified interference with contractual relations for many years. Patterson 20 Glass Company v. Thomas, 41 Cal. App. 599, 183 Pac. 190 (1919). 21

Plaintiffs also have standing to complain of continued interference with their abilities to participate in the academic affairs of San Francisco State College because, without the injunctive relief sought herein, plaintiffs will suffer irreparable injury. Irreparable injury is not a narrow, restrictive term, but it is liberally construed in a manner consistent with the historic function by a court of equity of fashioning a remedy to right the wrongs which have been presented to it. Thus, it was stated in Wind v. Herbert, 186 Cal. App. 2d 276, 285 (1960):

31 __

1 2 3 4 5	"The concept of "irreparable injury" which authorizes the interpossession of a court of equity by way of an injunction does not concern itself entirely with injury beyond the possi- bility of repair or beyond possible compensation in damages Rather, by definition, an injunction proper- ly issues in any case where "it would be extremely difficult to ascertain the
6	amount of compensation which would afford adequate relief."
7	Furthermore, as the equitable rule was stated by the
9	California Supreme Court in Anderson v. Sousa, 38 Cal. 2d
10	825, 834, 243 P.2d 497 (1952), "the term 'irreparable
11	injury' means that species of damages, whether great
12	or small, that ought not to be submitted to on the one hand
13	or inflicted on the other." [Emphasis added] An injunction
14	properly may be issued to prevent wrongs of a repeated or
15	
16	
	is also deemed to be "irreparable." Christopher v. Jones,
17	
18	The 9th Circuit stated, in Beacon Theaters v. Westover,
	252 F.2d 864 (9 Cir. 1958), rev'd on other grounds 359 U.S.
	500 (1959):
21	"[I]t is well settled that where a defendant engages in conduct calculated
22	to violate or interfere with a plaintiff's right of property or of contract, equity will en-
23	join that conduct in any case in which it appears that the plaintiff is without an adequate remedy
24	at law. [Cf. Pomeroy's Equity Jurisprudence 5th Ed. §1338]. And this is true although the
25	property or contract or other similar rights which plaintiff seeks to protect may be said to
26	be legal rights. Furthermore, it is also clear that the rights which are entitled to protection
27	of this kind, fall within a vary broad defi- nition of property or contract rights."
28	
29	The court continued by noting that:
70	
30	"[I]t has long been recognized that a person having an existing contract for the acquisition
31	

to induce the breach of the plaintiff's 1 contract. All that need be proven in such cases is that the attempted inter-2 ference by the third person with plaintiff's contract and his attempts to induce the 3 other party to the contract to breach it is done intentionally." Similarly, courts have issued injunctions to prevent 5 actions which tend to interfere with admission to various other establishments. For example, in Nyman v. The Desert Club, 109 Cal. App. 2d 63, 240 P.2d 37 (1952), the plaintiff sought an injunction to prevent defendant from interfering with plaintiff's use of the Desert Club, for which use plaintiff had previously paid. In Berrien v. Pollitzer, 165 F.2d 21 11 (D.C. Cir. 1947), the rights of plaintiff not to be excluded 12 from the headquarters of a political party were protected by 13 14 means of an injunction. In both cases, the courts pointed out that injunctions or other equitable remedies are available for the protection of rights which, even though they may not 17 be property in the strict sense of the word, are sufficiently 18 close to property rights as to be protected by an injunction. Therefore, plaintiffs have an interest which must be 19 20 protected by an injunction or temporary restraining order in 21 order to prevent immediate and irreparable injury. Clearly, 22 there is no adequate remedy at law for the damages which are 23 being inflicted upon the plaintiffs by the defendants and by 24 those acting in concert with the defendants, for no amount of 25 money can compensate plaintiffs for the losses which they are 26 sustaining. 27 Historically, equity will not enjoin a crime. 28 Perrin v. Mountain View Mausoleum Association, 206 Cal. 669, 29 275 P. 787 47 Cal. 2d 11, 300 P.2d 831 (1956). The rule is now

30 codified in Cal. Civ. Code §3369. However, there is a common

31 law exception, also embodied in §3369, which deals with nuisance.

- 1 While §3369 does not expressly provide for an injunctive remedy,
- 2 the California Courts recognize the common law exception and
- 3 continue to enjoin public nuisance. Ex Parte Wood. 194 Cal. 49,
- 4 227 Pac. 908 (1924); Smith v. Collison, 119 Cal. App. 180,
- 5 6 P.2d 425 (1934); Tucker v. Watkins, 251 Cal. App. 2d 327, 59
- 6 Cal. Rptr. 453 (1967). Civ. Code §3493 states that a private
- 7 individual may maintain an action for a public nuisance, provid-
- 8 ing it is injurious to him, and this code section contemplates
- 9 actions for injunctive relief. Tucker v. Watkins, 251 Cal. App.
- 10 2d 327, 59 Cal. Rptr. 453 (1967). The Court states that
- 11 an injunction was proper to end a public nuisance but it was
- 12 not granted because there was no showing of special injury.
- 13 . V
- 14 WHAT IS COMPLAINED OF IN THE
- SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
 CONSTITUTES A CONSPIRACY
- The common law defined a criminal conspiracy as an
- 17 agreement between two or more persons to achieve an unlawful
- 18 end or to achieve a lawful end by unlawful means and evidenced
- 19 by an overt act in furtherance of the agreement. Pettibone v.
- 20 U.S., 148 U.S. 197 (1893). People v. Cockrell, 63 Cal. 2d
- 21 659, 408 P.2d 116, 47 Cal. Rptr. 188 (1965). This has been
- 22 codified in California Penal Code Sections 182 and 184.
- Technically, there is no separate tort known as
- 24 "civil conspiracy." However, there is civil liability for
- 25 a conspiracy to commit a recognized tort if a wrongful act
- 26 in furtherance of the conspiracy is committed and if damage
- 27 results therefrom. See, e.g., Neblett v. Elliott, 46 Cal.
- 28 App. 2d 294, 115 P.2d 872 (1942). Those who participate in
- 29 a conspiracy to commit a tort are liable for the damage caused
- 30 in furtherance of the conspiracy as joint tortfeasors. See,
- 31 e.g., Greenwood v. Mooradian, 137 Cal. App. 2d 532, 290 P.2d
- 32 955 (1955). See also Globe Dairy Lunch v. Joint Culinary Workers,

1	117 Cal. App. 2d 190, 233 P.2d 94 (1953), where demonstrators
2	gathering to commit a misdemeanor were found to have committed
3	a civil conspiracy.
4	In the instant case, plaintiffs are informed and believe
5	that defendants have formed an agreement among each other to
6	commit various torts. Not only have defendants committed acts
7	in furtherance of this conspiracy, but damage has in fact been
8	sustained by plaintiffs and by others, so that an actionable
9	conspiracy is in existence at this time.
10	VI
11	PLAINTIFFS HAVE STANDING TO
12	COMPLAIN OF THIS CONSPIRACY
13	An individual may bring an action based upon a civil
14	conspiracy if he shows that some right of his has been violated
15	by wrongful acts committed by the defendants as a result of
16	the formation of the conspiracy and as a result of the operations
17	connected with and in furtherance of the conspiracy. Dickenson
18	<u>v</u> . <u>Samples</u> , 104 Cal. App. 2d 311, 231 P.2d 531 (1951). Jones
19	v. American President Lines, 149 Cal. App. 2d 319, 308 P.2d
20	393 (1957).
21	Each conspirator in a civil conspiracy is jointly and
22	severally liable for damages arising out of actions in furtherand
23	of the conspiracy. Prince v. Harting, 177 Cal. App. 2d 720,
24	2 Cal. Rptr. 545 (1960).
25	VII
26	THE COURTS OF CALIFORNIA WILL ENJOIN A CONSPIRACY.
27	WILL ENJOIN A CONSTINACT.
28	Under case law of this State and under the principles
29	hereinabove discussed for the issuance of an injunction,
30	plaintiffs who have been injured and will immediately, seriously
31	and irreparably be injured may secure an injunction for a
32	conspiracy. One recent California case issuing an injunction

```
against a conspiracy noted:
2
                   [T]here is ample authority to support
                   the validity of a suit to enjoin persons
3
                  who are aiding and abetting the commission of
                  unlawful acts.
                                      If the facts presented in
4
                  the affidavits of the prevailing party indicate that a conspiracy can be inferred from the
5
                  nature of the acts done, the relations of the parties, the interest of alleged conspirators
                  and other circumstances, a preliminary injunction may issue. (Globe Dairy Lunch v. Joint Cullinary Workers (1953), 117 Cal. App. 2d 190, 193, 194, 255 P.2d 94; and see, also, Securities and Exchange Commission v. Time Trust, Inc. (1939 D.C., 28 F. Supp. 34.)
6
7
 8
 9
10
    People v. Arthur Murray, Inc., 238 Cal. App. 2d 333, 341 (1965).
11
            The mere fact that the conduct against which the injunction
    is sought is a criminal act, will not prevent the intervention
    of equity, where there is presented a clear case justifying
14 equitable relief. People v. Lim, 18 Cal. 2d 872, 118 P.2d
15 472 (1941).
16
            In City of Los Angeles v. Los Angeles Building &
    Construction Trades Council, 94 Cal. App. 2d 36, 210 P.2d
18 305 (1949), the Court was concerned with an action to enjoin
19 the defendants from striking, picketing, or engaging in other
20 concerted actions. Here, the Court said:
21
                   [I]llegality of purpose provides a
                   complete basis for injunctive relief
22
                   against conduct which would otherwise be
                   deemed a permissible exercise of fundamental rights. Where such illegality consists of
23
                   violations of settled public policy, as well
                   as in the cases of other illegal conduct,
the injured party has a right to be protected
from the imminent harmful consequences of such
24
25
                               94 Cal. App. 2d at 42, 43.
                   action.
26
            Therefore, this Court, sitting as a court of equity,
28 has the right and the power to issue an injunction to protect
 29 the rights of plaintiffs herein and to prevent the violation
 30 of fundamental public policies.
 31 --
```

32 --

1	VIII
2	THE DAMAGE TO THE PLAINTIFF IS IMMEDIATE AND IRREPARABLE AND
3	THEREFORE DESERVING OF A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER.
4	RESIMILATIO ONDER.
5	The Code of Civ. Proc. §527, as amended, allows a
6	Court to grant a temporary restraining order, without notice
7	to the other party, if it appears from the facts in the
8	affidavits that great or irreparable injury will result to the
9	applicant before the matter can be heard on notice.
10	Since the purpose of a temporary restraining order and
11	a preliminary injunction is to preserve the status quo in the
12	face of immediate and irreparable injury, <u>Wind v. Herbert</u> ,
13	186 Cal. App. 2d 276, 8 Cal. Rptr. 817 (1960), it would seem
14	that upon such a showing, relief would be given.
15	In <u>Wilms v. Hand</u> , 101 Cal. App. 2d 811, 226 P.2d 728
16	(1951), the Court discussed the policy of "balancing of the
17	equities." In discussing this principle, the Court noted that
18	a temporary injunction should issue if the plaintiffs can
19	show immediate, certain and great injury if the injunction is
20	denied, while defendants correspondingly will suffer only a
21	slight loss if the injunction is granted. That there is great
22	injury to both classes of plaintiffs in the instant case as
23	a direct and proximate result of the continued conduct of
24	defendants is undeniable, particularly since examinations
25	are now in process at San Francisco State College. The burden
26	placed upon defendants by the issuance of the order and injunction
27	appears comparatively minimal.
28	when considering the great injury to the plaintills and
29	the probability of continuance of disruptions at San Francisco
30	State College, the need for a temporary restraining order
31	becomes more evident. In Fretz v. Burke, 1947 Cal. App. 2d
32	741, 55 Cal. Rptr. 879 (1967), the Court stated that an

```
injunction is preventive, and that it may therefore be granted
   as to past acts if there is evidence that will probably reoccur.
                              IX
3
                   THE TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER
                   REQUESTED HEREIN MAY VALIDLY BE
                   ISSUED IN THIS PROCEEDING AND IS
5
                   NOT AN INFRINGEMENT UPON THE
                   RIGHTS OF DEFENDANTS UNDER THE
6
                   FIRST AMENDMENT.
7
              Individuals may picket and demonstrate in a peaceful
8
   manner in order to publicize their disputes or grievances
9
   effectively, but they have no right physically to obstruct
10
   entrances or driveways. San Diego Gas & Electric Co. v.
11
   San Diego Congress of Racial Equalify, 241 Cal. App. 2d 405,
12
   50 Cal. Rptr. 688 (1966). Chrisman v. Culinary Workers' Local,
13
   46 Cal. App. 2d 129, 115 P.2d 558 (1941).
14
             Moreover, an injunction may be issued to restrain
15
   picketing or demonstrating when accompanied by violence, force, or
16
   intimidation. Milk Wagon Drivers Union v. Meadowmoor Dairies,
   312 U.S. 287 (1940).
             The right of free speech on behalf of
19
              unpopular causes does not carry with it a li-
              cense to make the streets ideological battle-
20
              grounds, to indulge in demonstrations based
              on strong-arm techniques or to deny others
21
              the use of the streets for normal movement
              or passage. Free speech is not an absolute
22
              right but one subject to specified limitations.
             Konigsberg v. State Bar of California, 366 U.S.
23
              (1961).
24
             The time, manner and place for the expression of ideas
25
26 in the street whether by speaking, demonstrating, or picketing
27 are subject to regulation and control by the police in the
28 process of maintaining public order. People v. Huss, 241
29 Cal. App. 2d 361, 51 Cal. Rptr. 56 (1966).
              In Goldberg v. Regents of the Univ. of California,
30
31 ---
32 ---
```

1	248 A.C.A. 1015, 57 Cal. Rptr. 463 (1967), the Court said:
2	Their argument has a major unarticulated
3	premise that since their purpose was to protest they had a constitutional right to
4	do so whenever, however, and whatever they pleased. That concept of constitutional
5	law was vigorously and forthrightly rejected by the United States Supreme Court
6	On the other hand, general regulatory statutes not intended to control the content of speech
7	but incidentally limiting its unfettered exercise, have not been regarded as the type
8	of law the First and Fourteenth Amendment forbade Congress or the States to pass, when they have
9	been found justified by subordinating valid
10	governmental interests, a prerequisite to con- stitutionality which has necessarily involved
11	a weighing of the governmental interests in- volved. [Emphasis added]
12	Thus, reasonable restrictions on the freedoms
13	of speech and assembly are recognized in relation to public agencies that have a valid
14	interest in maintaining good order and proper decorum (American Civil Liberties Union, etc.
	v. Board of Education 59 Cal. 2d 203, 212, 28 Cal. Rptr. 700, 379 P.2d 4). Conduct,
15	even though intertwined with expression and association are subject to regulation
16	(Adderley, et al. v. Florida; Cox v. State of Louisiana, Supra.)
17	
18	Complainants, by this cause of action, seek to
19	restrict only the time, place, and manner in which defendants
20	picket, demonstrate or otherwise seek to express their views.
21	Plaintiffs in no way seek to restrict the free expression of
22	ideas in consonance with the rights of defendants under the
23	Constitution. The temporary restraining order and injunction
24	sought herein are consistent with the First Amendment to the
25	Constitution.
26	CUSHING, CULLINAN, HANCOCK & ROTHERT
27	
28	BY Vincento Minim
29	Vincent Cullinan
30	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
31	
32	

CUSHING, CULLINAN, HANCOCK & ROTHERT 100 BUSH STREET SAN FRANCISCO 94104

```
CUSHING, CULLINAN, HANCOCK & ROTHERT
   100 Bush Street, Suite 1600
   San Francisco, California
   Telephone: 415 - 931-5550
   Attorneys for Plaintiffs
5
              IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
              IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
10 LAURENCE DOWD, HAROLD L. HOLLINGSWORTH,
ROBERT LUALHATI, GORDON M. SEELY,
11 WILLIAM R. WARD, HARVEY E. WILLIAMS,
ARTHUR MEJIA, JR. and GERALD B. WYNESS, 12 on behalf of themselves and all others
   similarly situated,
13
                               Plaintiffs,
         vs.
14
   STUDENTS FOR A DEMOCRATIC SOCIETY,
15 also known as SDS, an unincorporated association; TODD A. GILLIN, indivi-
16 dually and as an officer of said SDS;
GORDON DiMARCO, individually and as an 17 officer of said SDS; HOWIE FORMAN,
    individually and as an officer of said
18 SDS; ELENA DILLON, individually and as
    an officer of said SDS; BLACK STUDENTS
19 UNION, also known as BSU, an unincor-
    porated association; BRIDGES RANDALL,
20 individually and as an officer of said
    BSU; JACK H. ALEXIS, individually and
21 as an officer of said BSU; LEROY GOODWIN,
    individually and as an officer of said
22 BSU; JERRY VANARDO, individually and as an
    officer of said BSU; BENNY STEWART,
23 individually and as an officer of said
    BSU; NATHAN HARE, individually and as
24 an officer of said BSU; GLORY LOWERY,
    individually and as an officer of said
25 BSU;
          THIRD WORLD LIBERATION FRONT,
    also known as TWLF, an unincorporated
 26 association; HARI DILLIN, individually
    and as an officer of said TWLF; ROGER
 27 ALVARADO, individually and as an officer
    of said TWLF; ORGANIZATION OF STUDENT
 28 LIBRARY EMPLOYEES, also known as OSLE,
    an unincorporated association; STEPHEN
 29 LEE, individually and as an officer of
    said OSLE; ROGER THOMPSON, individually
 30 and as an officer of said OSLE; BLACK
    PANTHER PARTY, an unincorporated
 31 association; GEORGE MASON MURRAY,
    individually and as an officer of said
```

32 BLACK PANTHER PARTY; BEN STEWART,

No. 599912

ORDER FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAININ ORDER AND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

```
1 individually and as an officer of said
  BLACK PANTHER PARTY; PEACE AND FREEDOM
2 PARTY, an unincorporated association;
  BILL HANKIN, individually and as an
  officer of said PEACE AND FREEDOM PARTY;
  COMMUNITY STRIKE SUPPORT COALITION,
4 an unincorporated association; HELEN
   COOK, individually and as an officer
  of said COMMUNITY STRIKE SUPPORT
   COALITION;
              LARRY HYINK, individually
6 and as an officer of said COMMUNITY
   STRIKE SUPPORT COALITION; UNITED LATINS
  FOR JUSTICE, an unincorporated association;
   VICTOR MARTINEZ, individually and as an
8 officer of said UNITED LATINS FOR JUSTICE;
  MEXICAN-AMERICAN POLITICAL ASSOCIATION,
   also known as MAPA, an unincorporated
   association; MILTON ORTEGA, individually
10 and as an officer of said MAPA; LA CAUSA,
an unincorporated association; ARMANDO VALDEZ, individually and as an officer
   of said LA CAUSA; CHINESE FOR SOCIAL
12 ACTION, an unincorporated association;
   MASON WONG, individually and as an
13 officer of said CHINESE FOR SOCIAL
   ACTION; YOUNG SOCIALIST ALLIANCE, an
14 unincorporated association; HELEN
  MEYERS, individually and as an officer
15 of said YOUNG SOCIALIST ALLIANCE;
   FRIENDS OF THE IRISH REPUBLICAN ARMY,
16 an unincorporated association; VINCENT
   O'LEARY, individually and as an officer
   of said FRIENDS OF THE IRISH REPUBLICAN
   ARMY; STRIKE SUPPORT ORGANIZING COMMITTEE,
18 an unincorporated association; JIM
   GILLETT, individually and as an officer
19 of said STRIKE SUPPORT ORGANIZING
   COMMITTEE; HOWARD COHEN, individually and as an officer of said STRIKE SUPPORT
   ORGANIZING COMMITTEE; ASSOCIATED
21 STUDENTS OF SAN FRANCISCO STATE, an
   unincorporated association; JOHN WEBB,
22 individually and as an officer of said
   ASSOCIATED STUDENTS OF SAN FRANCISCO
23 STATE; DONALD RAY SMOTHERS; WILLIAM
   STANTON; EUGENE MARTINEZ;
                                RAYMOND
24 HAMILTON; BRUCE HARTFORD;
                               TIM COATES;
   DR. DICK FEIN; DALE HUGHES; JOHN
25 CLEVELAND; CECIL WASHINGTON; ANITA
   PALM; ARNOLD TOWNSEND; DAVID MILLER;
26 RALPH ANSPACK; AL WONG;
                            TONY MIRANDA;
   DIANA LOPEZ; ALEX SEVIA; ROSA HIGGS;
27 RON VARNEY; EUGENE MARCHI; ELAINE
28 PLAISANCE; DR. CARLETON
29 ///
30 ///
31 ///
32///
```

1 GOODLETT; FIRST DOE ASSOCIATION through FIFTIETH DOE ASSOCIATION, unincorporated 2 associations; FIRST DOE COMMITTEE through FIFTIETH DOE COMMITTEE, unincorporated 3 associations; FIRST DOE CORPORATION through) FIFTIETH DOE CORPORATION, corporations, and 4 FIRST DOE THROUGH ONE THOUSANDTH DOE, individually and as members of FIRST DOE 5 ASSOCIATION through FIFTIETH DOE ASSOCIA-TION, FIRST DOE COMMITTEE through FIFTIETH 6 DOE COMMITTEE, and/or FIRST DOE CORPORA-TION through FIFTIETH DOE CORPORATION, Defendants. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 .

32

Upon reading the verified complaint of plaintiffs 1 and the supporting declarations in this action, it appears to 2 the satisfaction of the Court from said complaint that this is 3 a proper case for the issuance of a temporary restraining order and that, unless the temporary restraining order prayed for in 5 said complaint be granted, great and irreparable injuries will result to plaintiffs before this matter can be heard on notice. 7 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, pending 8 the hearing on the hereinafter mentioned order to show cause, 9 the defendants, and each of them, and their officers, agents, 10 representatives, members, employees, deputies, servants, and 11 pickets, and each and all of the members of defendant unincorpor-12 ated associations, and all persons having or in any manner re-13 ceiving knowledge of this order, and all persons acting in aid or in conjunction with defendants, and each of them, are enjoined and restrained from directly or indirectly engaging in or conducting any of the following acts: 17 1. Obstructing in an unlawful manner any entrance to 18 or exit from the campus of San Francisco State College or the sidewalks, pathways, or streets thereon; Unlawfully massing, demonstrating or marching 21 anywhere on the campus of San Francisco State College or the 22 sidewalks, pathways, or streets thereon. 23 Unlawfully obstructing any entrance to or exit 24 from the buildings on the campus of San Francisco State College; 25 Unlawfully obstructing any entrance to or exit 26 from any room, office, auditorium, passageway, or area within 27 any building on the campus of San Francisco State College; Stationing, placing or maintaining in excess of 29 30 five (5) pickets at any one time at any entrance to the campus 31 of San Francisco State College; provided, however, that such 32 pickets must maintain not less than five (5) foot intervals

2	6. Unlawfully entering any classrooms, laboratory,
3	auditorium, library or meeting place on the campus of San Francisco
4	State College for the purpose of unlawfully disrupting classes,
5	examinations, studies, meetings or activities approved by the
6	President or Acting President of San Francisco State College;
7	7. Unlawfully making noise of any sort at any place
8	on the campus of San Francisco State College which interferes
9	with the normal conduct of classes, examinations, or meetings
10	authorized by the President or Acting President of San Francisco
11	State College;
12	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendants and each of
13	them appear before the Law and Motion Department of this Court,
14	Court Department No. 20 thereof, in its courtroom at City Hall
15	in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California,
16	at the hour of 9:30 A.M., on the 6th day of February, 1969,
17	then and there to show cause, if any they have, why they,
18	and each of them, should not be enjoined and restrained during
19	the pendency of this action from doing any of the acts complained
20	of in the complaints on file herein.
21	IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that this restraining
22	order shall issue upon plaintiffs' filing a surety bond in the
23	sum of five hundred dollars (\$500.00).
24	
25	DATED: JANUARY 29, 1969
26	
27	FDWARD F ODAY
28	Judge of the Superior Court
29	budge of the Superior Court
30	
31	
32	

1 between each other.

CUSHING, CULLINAN, HANCOCK & ROTHERT 100 BUSH STREET SAN FRANCISCO 94104

JUMMONS 1/30

Name, Address and Telephone No. of Attorney (s)

CUSHING, CULLINAN, HANCOCK & ROTHERT 100 Bush Street San Francisco, California 94104

Telephone: 981-5550

Space Below for Use of Court Clork Only

i sa titug tang terbiah salah

Attorney (s) for Plaintiff (s)

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

10 LAURENCE DOWD, HAROLD L. HOLLINGSWORTH, ROBERT LUALHATI, GORDON M. SEELY, 11 WILLIAM R. WARD, HARVEY E. WILLIAMS, ARTHUR MEJIA, JR. and GERALD B. WYNESS, 12 on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated. Plaintiffs, 13 14 STUDENTS FOR A DEMOCRATIC SOCIETY, 15 also known as SDS, an unincorporated association; TODD A. GILLIN, indivi-16 dually and as an officer of said SDS; GORDON DiMARCO, individually and as an 17 officer of said SDS; HOWIE FORMAN, . individually and as an officer of said 18 SDS; ELENA DILLON, individually and as an officer of said SOS: BLACK STUDENTS 19 UNION, also known as PSU, an unincorporated association: BRIDGES RANDALL, 20 individually in a property of said BSU: JACK H. Assaus, individually and 21 as an officer of said BSU; LEROY GOODWIN, individually and as an officer of said 22 BSU; JERRY VANARDO, individually and as an officer of said BSU; BENNY STEWART, 23 individually and as an officer of said BSU; NATHAN HARE, individually and as 24 an officer of said BSU; GLORY LOWERY, individually and as an officer of said 25 BSU; THIRD WORLD LIBERATION FRONT, also known as TWLF, an unincorporated 26 association; HARI DILLIN, individually and as an officer of said TWLF; ROGER 27 ALVARADO, individually and as an officer of said TWLF; ORGANIZATION OF STUDENT 28 LIBRARY EMPLOYEES, also known as OSLE, an unincorporated association; STEPHEN 29 LEE, individually and as an officer of said OSLE; ROGER THOMPSON, individually 30 and as an officer of said OSLE; BLACK PANTHER PARTY, an unincorporated 31 association; GEORGE MASON MURRAY, individually and as an officer of said 32 BLACK PAUTHER PARTY; BEN STEWART,

No. 599912

```
individually and as an officer of said
  BLACK PANTHER PARTY; PEACE AND FREEDOM .
2 PARTY, an unincorporated association;
  BILL HANKIN, individually and as an
  officer of said PEACE AND FREEDOM PARTY;
  COMMUNITY STRIKE SUPPORT COALITION,
 an unincorporated association; HELEN
  COOK, individually and as an officer
  of said COMMUNITY STRIKE SUPPORT
  COALITION; LARRY HYINK, individually
6 and as an officer of said COMMUNITY
  STRIKE SUPPORT COALITION; UNITED LATINS
7 FOR JUSTICE, an unincorporated association;
  VICTOR MARTINEZ, individually and as an
8 officer of said UNITED LATINS FOR JUSTICE;
  MEXICAN-AMERICAN POLITICAL ASSOCIATION,
  also known as MAPA, an unincorporated
  association; MILTON ORTEGA, individually
10 and as an officer of said MAPA;
                                     LA CAUSA,
an unincorporated association; ARMANDO VALDEZ, individually and as an officer
  of said LA CAUSA;
                      CHINESE FOR SOCIAL
12 ACTION, an unincorporated association;
  MASON WONG, individually and as an
13 officer of said CHINESE FOR SOCIAL
           YOUNG SOCIALIST ALLIANCE, an
   ACTION;
14 unincorporated association; HELEN
MEYERS, individually and as an officer of said YOUNG SOCIALIST ALLIANCE;
   FRIENDS OF THE IRISH REPUBLICAN ARMY,
16 an unincorporated association; VINCENT
   O'LEARY, individually and as an officer
17 of said FRIENDS OF THE IRISH REPUBLICAN
   ARMY: STRIKE SUPPORT ORGANIZING COMMITTEE,
18 an unincorporated association;
                                    JIM
   GILLETT, individually and as an officer
19 of said STRIKE SUPPORT ORGANIZING
20 and as an officer of said STRIKE SUPPORT
   ORGANIZING COMMITTEE; ASSOCIATED
21 STUDENTS OF SAN FRANCISCO STATE, an
   unincorporated association; JOHN WEBB,
22 individually and as an officer of said
   ASSOCIATED STUDENTS OF SAN FRANCISCO
23 STATE; DONALD RAY SMOTHERS;
                                  WILLIAM
   STANTON; EUGENE MARTINEZ; RAYMOND
24 HAMILTON; BRUCE HARTFORD;
                               TIM COATES;
   DR. DICK FEIN; DALE HUGHES;
 25 CLEVELAND; CECIL WASHINGTON;
   PALM; ARNOLD TOWNSEND; DAVID MILLER;
 26 RALPH ANSPACK; AL WONG;
                            TONY MIRANDA;
   DIANA LOPEZ; ALEX SEVIA; ROSA HIGGS; RON VARNEY; EUGENE MARCHI; ELAINE
 28 PLAISANCE; DR. CARLETON
 29///
```

1	GOODLETT; FIRST DOE ASSOCIATION through)
	FIFTIETH DOE ASSOCIATION, unincorporated)
2	associations: FIRST DOE COMMITTEE through)
	FIFTIETH DOE COMMITTEE, unincorporated)
3	associations; FIRST DOE CORPORATION through)
	FIFTIETH DOE CORPORATION, corporations, and)
4	FIRST DOE THROUGH ONE THOUSANDTH DOE,)
	individually and as members of FIRST DOE)
5	ASSOCIATION through FIFTIETH DOE ASSOCIA-)
	TION, FIRST DOE COMMITTEE through FIFTIETH)
6	DOE COMMITTEE, and/or FIRST DOE CORPORA-)
	TION through FIFTIETH DOE CORPORATION,)
7)
	Defendants.)
8)

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA to the above named

Defendant(s):

You are directed to file with the Clerk of this Court a written pleading in response to the

Complaint in an action brought against you by the above named plaintiff (s) in the Superior

Court of the State of California for the City and County of San Francisco, within ten days after the service
on you of this Summons, if served within the City and County of San Francisco, or within thirty days if
served elsewhere, except that if the action is against the state pursuant to Section 733.5 of the Code of
Civil Procedure, within 180 days, and you are notified that unless you file a written responsive pleading to
the

Complaint as above required, the plaintiff (s) will take judgment
for any money or damages demanded in the

Complaint.

Complaint.

You may seek the advice of an attorney on any matter connected with the complaint or this summons. Such attorney should be consulted within the time limit stated in this summons for filing a written pleading to the complaint.

Dated.

(SEAL)

By R. J. HARE

., Deputy Clerk

Answers, demurrers and all other pleadings, must be in writing, in form purzuant to rule of court, ACCOMPANIED WITH THE NECESSARY FEE, and filed with the Clerk of this Court, and should be accompanied by proof of service of a copy thereof on plaintiff or his attorney.

A pleading is a formal allegation of a claim or defense (Section 420 Code of Civil Procedure). It must be typewritten or printed on legal size opaque white paper with numbered lines, bound at the top, numbered consecutively at the bottom. (Rule 201, Rules for the Superior Courts).

(See reverse side for Proof of Service)

County Clerk F 1203

SUMMONS (General)

Code Civ. Proc. Secs. 407, 410, 474, 415.1; etc.

32

I, the undersigned, hereby state: That I was, at the time of the service of the papers herein referred to over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within entitled action; I served the within summons by delivering to and leaving with the person or persons personally, hereinafter named, a copy thereof, together with a copy of the complaint therein mentioned, at the address and on the date set forth opposite each name of said person or persons, in the County of

State of California, to-wit:

Name of Defenda	ents Served
-----------------	-------------

City and Street Address

Date of Service



I further state tha	t there app	peared on	the	copy o	f the	summons	that	esw	served	the	notice	or 1	notices
checked below:													

(CORPORATION) A notic	e that the per	rson upon	Whom s	ervice	was mad	le was serv	ed on behalf	0
said corporation under the	provisions of	Section 41	ll of the	e Code	of Civil	Procedure,	ss required	by
Section 410 of said Code.					_			

	(PARTNERSHIP OR OTHER UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATION.) A notice that the person upon
7	whom service was made was served on behalf of a partnership or other unincorporated association
	under the provisions of Section 411 of the Code of Civil Procedure, as required by Section 410 of said
	Code.

	(DUAL CAPACITY) The notice al	so indicated tha	t the person u	ipon whom	service was	made was
П	served as an individual as well as o	a behalf of the	corporation or	associates	conducting	a business
	under a common name, as required h					

	(FICTITIOUS NAME)	A notice of	identity	endorsed	on t	the face	of t	the	сору	of	such	summons	as
	required by Section 474	of the Code	of Civil	Procedure.									

My fees for service are: \$ for

miles actually traveled at

cents per mile,

Total, \$

Executed on

, 19

. California.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

(Signature of Declarant)

^{*}If service is upon a corporation, partnership, or association, state its name and the name of official title of person to whom copy of within is delivered.