## WHAT HAS THE FSM ACCOMPLISHED? ?

## A. Positive

Liberalization of certain statutes regarding political activity and advocacy. Accomplishment of their original goals. Question: Were the methods employed the best methods possible for the achievement of these ends? Answer: No. Stop and think! Could the due process of law and negotiation have been conducted in the two weeks between the University's policy regarding Sather Gate activity and the October 1. demonstration. Did the University really show itself to be so implacable in 14 short days to warrant the demonstrations?

## B. Negative

- FSM tactics have blackened the reputation of the University of California. At this
  point, whether Communist influence in the FSM is significant or not is immaterial.
  What IS important to realize is the California legislators and citizens, and citizens
  across the United States, are convinced that the demonstrations are Communist
  inspired.
- 2. FSM has disrupted all University functions under the guise of "civil disobedience". They have deprived students anxious for the resumption of normalcy on the Cal campus of their RIGHT to gain maximum benefit from their education.
- 3. FSM has forced the introduction of police to our campus--setting a precedent they claim to decry, but which in truth served their immediate purpose of revitalizing a dying movement by establishing a rallying point. This precedent is bound to have dangerous repercussions in the years to come.
- 4. Many of the University students encouraged to support disruptive tactics now have their names on police blotters. This may seem like a trivial consideration to them now. However, these records will follow the "civilly disobedient" students wherever they go for the rest of their lives.
- 5. The FSM has fragmented the campus. How long will it be until the pieces come together and the University is able to act as a unit working towards the accomplishment of the goals for which a University stands.
- 6. The leaders of FSM have challenged in succession the authority of the Deans, then the Chancellor and the President of the University, followed by the Governor of the state, and finally all Civil Authority.
- 7. FSM attacked, but did not seriously impair, a "bureaueratic organization" (the administration). To do this, they have formed an organization the bureaucratic structure of which would be the delight of the most fanatic anarchist.
- 8. Serious doubts have been cast concerning the ability of the students to function under the liberal policies which have been in effect since Dr. Kerr's assumption of the Presidency. The Free Speech Movement has set the stage for future enaction of stringent rules by the legislature which are feared by even the most conservative elements on campus.

THIS IS THE COST OF 26 FEET OF PAVEMENT.

The Executive Committee of the University Students for Law and Order