Economic Power And Economic Security

Speaker 1 (00:00:05):

Cheese people who they woman precious by piece. It's a lot of, I heard I

Speaker 2 (00:05:23):

Good afternoon. And for you on the west coast, like I am good morning. Um, thank you for joining our panel on economic power and economic security. Uh, for most of it, there's very little doubt that the African American community has grown in political and influence and power over the last several decades, to an extent that I'm not even sure the founders of SNCC might have imagined the task before us now, however, is to translate that increased economic influence into sustained in real economic progress. Our panel today will outline some of the most significant proposals and strategies being employed for increasing black wealth income and employment. Um, first let me introduce myself. My name is Paul pride. I spent the last 50 years working on the capital and credit access problems of the black community, as well as other marginalized communities. I'm happy to be your moderator today. Um, our first panel list is Dr. William Sandy. Dity Dr. DDY is Samuel. The boys cook professor of public policy, African American studies and economics at duke university. He, along with Kirsten Mullen is author of from here to equality, a comprehensive historical and economic case for operations for American descendants of slavery. I'm delighted. He's gonna his views with us today.

Speaker 3 (00:06:52):

Uh, you for having me, uh, I'm glad to join this, this, this fine panel

Speaker 2 (00:06:59):

Looking forward to your remarks. Uh, Mr. Wally COSAM is founder and CEO of mobility, capital finance, a company that uses financial technology, data analytics, and digital strategies to provide banking services to the nation's under buying and unbanked black and brown citizens. He'll describe the work mofi is doing with banks and local governments to provide afford affordable alternatives to people who too often have to rely on predatory check cashes, paid lenders and pawn shops.

Speaker 4 (00:07:30):

It's great to be here. Thanks so much for having me

Speaker 2 (00:07:34):

To see you again, Dr. Reverend Delman Coates serves his pastor of Mount Baptist church of 10,000 member congregation in the Washington DC suburb of Clinton, Maryland. He's also cofounder of, of founder of our money, a grassroots campaign to educate Americans about the role of the nation's monetary system in both shaping and distorting our lives. Dr. Coates is gonna make the case that a national job guarantee is critical is a critical solution to poverty, unemployment. Thank you for joining us.

Speaker 5 (00:08:08):

Thank you so much for having me, um, delighted to share today

Speaker 2 (00:08:14):

Doctors bar to discuss his workforce.

Speaker 3 (00:08:19):

Economic Power And Economic Security

Uh, so I'd like to begin by, uh, talking briefly about, um, the inadequacy of attention to the problem of economic inequality that characterized, I think the, the, the long period of activism on the part of the civil rights movement, and to the extent attention was drawn to economic related issues. It was frequently through the lens of thinking about income disparities rather than wealth disparities, or thinking about the issues in the context of black business development, not necessarily development of the wealth across the entire black community. Uh, today we have an immense disparity in wealth between blacks and whites in the United States. Uh, it's of an order of a, an average per black and white household of approximately \$840,000 in net worth. Uh, a comparable or related statistic is the fact that if you look at the, uh, black American population who had ancestors who were enslaved in the United States, they constitute about 12% of the nation's population, but possess less than 2% of the nation's wealth.

Speaker 3 (00:09:39):

And I want to distinguish wealth from income in the following way. Uh, wealth is a stock concept, a, uh, a set of resources that an individual or household has that they potentially can carry with them across periods of time. It's the net value of their property, the difference between their assets and their debts. And this is quite distinct from income, which is a flow concept that is primarily dominated by the earnings that an individual receives a given amount of time. And I would like to argue that wealth is a more important factor in terms of dictating, uh, opportunity as well as economic security. And this is because in part wealth can actually substitute for income. Uh, when families are confronted with medical emergencies or a job loss, wealthier households are in a far better position to weather the storm, uh, wealthier families are in a position to purchase their way into higher amenity neighborhoods.

Speaker 3 (00:10:45):

Uh, they can ensure that their children leave college or university without any significant amount of student loan debt. They're able to better negotiate the criminal justice system because they can purchase quality legal, uh, legal advice. Uh, they can be more actively involved in the political process and more effectively involved in the political process that is dominated by the capacity of individuals to mobilize funds, to exercise influence, uh, they can, uh, they can purchase a business or they can expand an existing business more easily if they already are in a position of wealth and they can leave resources to subsequent generations, either in the form of an inheritance or in the form of gifts. So if we have this immense racial wealth gap, and if there's a tremendous disadvantage associated with having such a disparity in wealth, uh, we have to pay close attention to the question of what we can do to, uh, to resolve this disparity.

Speaker 3 (00:11:50):

And, uh, in the work that I've done with Kirsten Mullen in the book, uh, that, that Mr. Pride mentioned, uh, from here to equality, we have emphasized the notion that the only significant way in which the racial wealth gap can be eliminated is by closing it directly. And that is by the provision of direct payments to eligible recipients for a program of reparations. And we've argued that the, uh, a reparations program would require an expenditure by the federal government of somewhere in the vicinity of, uh, 12 to \$14 trillion to eliminate that gap. And, and I'd like to add that, uh, actually like, like Mr. Coates, I'm a passionate enthusiast for a federal job guarantee, but I don't think of a federal job guarantee as being an alternative to a reparations program. I view it as being a compliment. Uh, a federal job guarantee would be applicable to all Americans, but it would have a disproportionate benefit for black Americans because of the

Economic Power And Economic Security

extent to which we are subjected to discrimination in labor markets. Uh, but, uh, it would not address the wealth disparity. It's not intended to do that because it's effectively an anti-poverty program. It's not a wealth accumulation program. And the only way we can really address this enormous gaping chasm and wealth is through a reparations initiative,

Speaker 2 (00:13:24):

Seems appropriate. A good segue to Dr. Coates.

Speaker 5 (00:13:31):

I just said to myself, I don't know if you can hear me, Paul. I just said to myself, wow, I need to edit my thoughts now. Um, Paul, did you want me to go now? Is, is so yeah,

Speaker 2 (00:13:45):

If you don't

Speaker 5 (00:13:45):

Mind, okay.

Speaker 2 (00:13:47):

We can go to, if that's better, if you'd like to organize your thoughts.

Speaker 5 (00:13:51):

Yes. Thank you so much for having me. I'm really delighted to share today. And I really want to direct my comments to explaining what a federal job guarantee is, why it's so important. And I want to talk briefly about the salient, um, framework known as modern monetary theory, which is seeks to explain how would we pay for such a big, broad, robust policy initiative in order to address the longstanding negative consequences of American slavery and the enduring racial disparities affecting the descendants of American slavery. I believe we need targeted measures to address, uh, the range of disparities that we see today. And we need major public policy intervention to close the structural features of our economy, that extract economic resources from the African American community. This for me is one of the major takeaways from the study, what we get wrong about the racial wealth gap conducted by Dr.

Speaker 5 (00:14:57):

Dardy and other leading economists, which I strongly encourage, uh, folks listening to read, uh, such bold policy, uh, public policy intervention must include among other things in my mind, fiscal policy measures that guarantee true full employment in America, rather than the current goal of maximum unemploy maximum employment by central by the central bank, which ensures that tens of millions of Americans will be unemployed even in a good economy, if we're to have any hope of delivering repetitive economic justice to those impacted by America's nightmare of chattel slavery, we must end the policy of unemployment that governs the way policy makers think about monetary and physical policy. This is really key and important because unemployment creates economic insecurity, prevents people from being able to take care of their families and forces them into a life of crime. It Stokes racial tension as those with jobs feel threatened by those without a job.

Speaker 5 (00:16:02):

Economic Power And Economic Security

And the way that we currently conduct monetary policy in America assumes that there will always be this unemployed population in America. I regard this as an unacceptable level of unemployment, and it's something that we deeply need to change. And to remedy this economists and civil rights activists have sought passage of federal job guarantee legislation that guarantees full employment in America by providing a legal right to a dignified job with benefits at a livable wage for every American who wants a public job, such a public option for jobs is so critical because the private sector as important as it is for our economy is not able to create enough good jobs for every American and a job guarantee would help to fill that gap. One of the reasons that I'm also, uh, very passionate about a federal job guarantee is that it's an automatic stabilizer, which means that the spending is automatically approved just like unemployment benefits or social security, regardless of, uh, without putting us in a situation where we are held hostage to which party is running Congress in order to get additional funding, uh, a federal job guarantee, as I've said earlier, is the unfinished work of the civil rights movement.

Speaker 5 (00:17:24):

Dr. Sadie Alexander was the first African American to receive a PhD in economics in America. In 1921. She was also the first economist to recommend and champion a job guarantee, a concept that was embraced by president Franklin Dior Roosevelt. At the time, it was advocated by Dr. King, many of the leaders of core SCLC, SNCC, the AFL CIO, and a range of other organizations. And in the seventies, Carretta Scott King led 1.2 million people in rallies, all across the country advocating for a federal job, guaranteed. It is just as relevant today as it was then. And I look forward to more robust discussion and conversation about a federal job guarantee and its benefits. Let me say this, Paul many people will ask where would the money from a federal job guarantee come from for that matter? They'll ask Dr. Darity, where will the \$13 trillion come from for such a reparations, uh, proposal.

Speaker 5 (00:18:28):

And to that, I say that understanding the core insights of modern monetary theory are very important. Modern monetary theory helps us to understand that the federal government's budget does not work like a household. It is the household budget framework. That is, um, uh, the sort of prevailing understanding of how the federal government's budget works, which is hindering progress on a range of public priorities, getting funded today. Like we see in the current debates around infrastructure, MMT helps us to understand that the federal government issues, the currency, it's not a user of, of the currency. And as such revenue is not the constraint for the federal government, but resources. And as long as we do not, uh, trigger inflation, the federal government can create all the money we need to, to responsibly address our greatest social and economic challenges. I encourage everyone listening to, uh, educate yourself about the core insights of MMT or modern monetary theory. If you haven't read the book, the deficit myth by Stephanie Kelton, I think it's a good primer on the core insights of MMT. Thank you so much, Paul, looking forward to

Speaker 2 (00:19:38):

This. Thank you, Dr. Kos. And now to my friend, Wally, COUM an entrepreneur who's addressing using the banking system and partnerships with local governments to address some of our most severe banking problems. Wally,

Speaker 4 (00:19:53):

Economic Power And Economic Security

Thank you very much, Paul it's, it's a privilege again, to being a part of such a distinguished conversation, uh, with the distinguished analyst. I, I think about the world coming from my own experience, uh, having the, the opportunity to work, uh, in the financial services system, uh, if you will having spent time at city group and JP Morgan and becoming one of the most senior people, uh, at JP Morgan and during my 20 plus years in banking, in the traditional sense, if you will really came to understand the power that banking tools can have in terms of transforming communities. And I had my George Floyd moment after the death of Michael Brown, where I said, okay, it's clear that the financial system is leaving black folks behind. That's why we have 50 to 55% of our community is unbanked or underbanked. And just in that frame, we are losing, um, hundreds of millions, if not billions of dollars every year into, uh, the system such as payday lenders, pawn shops, check cashers, and those institutions have become very extractive in terms of taking dollars out of our community on a daily basis.

Speaker 4 (00:21:22):

And not only financially, but psychologically. I mean, the idea that someone might tip someone for helping them cash their check at a check cash, or where they paid a fee to get their own money is ridiculous. But 80% of the, of the bank branches that have closed in this country have been in low and income communities, which is code for black communities, right? And so I thought that it made sense to let's take the banking institution and the infrastructure, but really gear it towards the problems facing our communities. And I can go on in terms of why it's important for there to be diversity at large banks and other financial institutions to afford individuals like myself to leave and say, what are the tools that are available that can address an immediate need? So someone doesn't have to go to a check casher. So someone doesn't have to go to a pawn shop, and that's what we've built in with.

Speaker 4 (00:22:18):

Mofi where we were saying, okay, let's give people a no fee bank account that allow that comes with a free ATM system that comes with let's. If the bank branches are leaving the community, let's turn the stores that have stayed in the community and turn those into bank branches where people can make deposits and make free withdrawals. And then we sort of saw, and it was, it became the, the, the pandemic became an accelerant for different cities across the country to say, wait a second, we need to get dollars to the most vulnerable among us. And unfortunately the black community follows in the category of most vulnerable too many times. And how do we do that electronically when people are stuck in their homes. And so that became an opportunity for us to sit with, talk to mayors across the country, whether it's the mayor of Los Angeles or Birmingham, or, um, Columbia, South Carolina, or Detroit new Orleans, where we said, Hey, you can, we can, we can bring folks in to the financial system in an efficient way so they can get access to dollars.

Speaker 4 (00:23:28):

And what's terrific. Similar to what my fellow panels have talked about is there's such a large amount of money flowing or could flow to individuals in our structure, as the government is set up where many of those dollars go through the states and the cities that we've built an infrastructure allowed to take advantage of that, and really reimagine banking our communities in a way that's responsible, which by default gives people a pay raise <laugh>. And so we are very excited about how we're doing that and, um, you know, look forward to, to sharing more ideas. But the point the punchline is while we are dealing with some of these longer term, uh,

Economic Power And Economic Security

strategies from a policy perspective, we think there are opportunities to have some what I'll call quick hits today to en enable us to bring technology to communities like ours that have so often been ignored, uh, by the traditional banks, as well as by traditional tech companies. And we're trying to change the game on that.

Speaker 2 (00:24:37):

Um, you know, it occurs to me that government at all levels has a huge role to play, but we in the black community have a history of originating, some solutions to our own problems. Um, so to speak, we've done things from the ground up, and I'd like Dr. Uh, Jessica Gordon MHAR, uh, to address her work in looking at cooperative economics and other sort of, um, bottoms up approaches to economic progress. Um, Dr. NEK specializes in cooperative economics, community, economic development, community, asset building, and racial wealth and equality. And she's currently professor of community justice and social economic development in the department of African studies at John J college of city, university of New York, Dr. Gordon Neha, thank you for joining us.

Speaker 6 (00:25:34):

Oh, thanks for having me. It's, it's great to be on this panel and I have to shout out hello to Sandy. Um, good to see you and, uh, to always be, um, talking about these issues. So, yeah, it's um, I just wanna add another complimentary strategy, looking from the bottom up, um, to doing, you know, economic power and, uh, wealth building, I'll start with a quote from w E B Dubois that he wrote in an editorial in 1933. He said we can by consumers and producers cooperation establish a progressively self-supporting economy that will wield the majority of our people into an impregnable economic failings. And this is an aspect of Dubois that we don't always focus on his discussion about cooperative economics, his study. He did some studies of it in the late 18 hundreds in the early 19 hundreds about how African Americans are using cooperatives.

Speaker 6 (00:26:36):

And I followed in his footsteps and really saw that for centuries. Um, while we've also obviously argued for big policy and legal changes or whatever, we've also practiced alternative economics, not just to survive, not just for some economic justice, but also for some level of economic power. It gave us a chance doing these cooperatives and collective ownership, a chance to design and manage our production and our services in culturally racially and geographically sensitive ways away from capitalist depression to establish some stability so that we could have some level of independence from the beginning example, free and enslaved African American shared resources. The underground railroad is a kind of shared resource, uh, strategy. We pooled money to buy each other in our own freedom, right? We started independent schools, commune intentional communities and mutual aid societies all in order to really have more community control, even when we just had meager resources ourselves, but together, right, the pooled resources gave us access and, um, leverage to do a little bit better.

Speaker 6 (00:27:49):

So pooling our resources, um, to create credit unions. And before that credit exchanges. So we had access to mortgages and things that we could buy equipment, buy land, uh, instead of at Theus and, and predatory prices in ways that were comu controlled by our community ag co-ops. We started rural electric co-ops and we couldn't get electricity in regular ways. We had healthcare co-ops childcare, co-ops, we've been leading, uh, the sector and, uh, with worker co-ops in areas like childcare and healthcare and home care, things like that. And these are ways to both, you

Economic Power And Economic Security

know, co-ops, I won't go into the details, but they allow not just, um, to increase income and save costs, but also to actually develop wealth because these are profit sharing strategies. So within our own, uh, economic enterprises, we can structure them in ways that everybody shares the risks, as well as the profits we pool, um, our resources in order to buy and, uh, have access to things.

Speaker 6 (00:28:50):

And we've had lots of success with this, both increasing our income, increasing our wealth, increasing economic stability, providing some self, um, sufficiency, developing collective agency and action, and even leadership developments cause being involved in these kind of collectives do that. And I just wanna end by saying, um, these efforts have been most effective when they're combined efforts, right? Combining populism, uh, labor organizing civil rights and economic justice economic democracy together so that we can actually not just gain the economic power, but also have some level of political power political strategy. And so it's been interesting to see some of the examples throughout history with the Knight of labor colored farmers, national Alliance, and cooperative union, even the Panther party groups, like the Federation of Southern cooperatives land assistance fund, all addressing, not just economic stability through cooperatives, but policy changes and making policy changes that, um, will strengthen, uh, our solidarity enterprises. Um, and so I've just, I've talked about in, in the past, even adding, um, the issue about, uh, sabotage against black co-ops to the case for reparations and to looking, um, at cooperatives, in addition to these macro solutions that have been talked about today. Thank you so much.

Speaker 2 (00:30:21):

<inaudible> and thank you to Dr. Doherty, Dr. Totes, have, will they coax and for your insightful remarks and significantly important proposals? Um, I think right now it'd be time to open up our panel to, uh, to questions from our audience. If, if there are any,

Speaker 4 (00:30:53):

While you think about quest getting questions from the audience, I, I just had a question to of the panel in terms of the, the timing of, of the proposals. How quickly might we be able to move on the reparations plan, or how quickly can we move on the, a guaranteed, uh, job for everyone in the current political environment?

Speaker 3 (00:31:25):

Uh, so, uh, interestingly enough, the federal job guarantee is enormously popular in the public at large. Uh, in fact, I, I believe that the, the last survey that I saw indicated that somewhere in the vicinity of 75 to 80% of all Americans favor it and it crosses party lines, what's really intriguing is the fact that our elected officials have done very little to seize upon that popularity and to pursue actually legislating a, uh, full scale, uh, federal job guarantee. Uh, I think about two years ago, I was in very close consultation with Corey Booker's office. And at that point they did develop a proposal that I think is still on the books for consideration to set up 15 demonstration projects throughout the United States. Uh, but it hasn't happened yet. Uh, the state of Connecticut has adopted something along the lines of a child trust account for all newborn infants in that state, but they have not adopted a federal job guarantee.

Speaker 3 (00:32:35):

Economic Power And Economic Security

And so, um, uh, so I, I would say that the prospects would be great for this to happen if for whatever reason our political leadership would in fact, put their finger to the wind on this one now, uh, with respect to the prospects for reparations program, uh, we've been waiting 156 years for the debt to be paid, and I don't expect it will be paid overnight, uh, particularly with the current Congress. So part of the political struggle that's associated with, uh, with, with the reparations project is actually a change in the composition of Congress as it stands. Um, and then I, I would add to that though, that there are some signs for optimism in the present moment. Uh, and one of the, the big signs is the change in attitude actually among, uh, significant portion of white Americans. If, if we went back and looked at the surveys that were taken at the very beginning of this century in the year 2000, only 4% of white Americans endorsed, uh, payments to black Americans, uh, as, as an act of reparations, uh, by the year 2018, that percentage had risen to 16.

Speaker 3 (00:33:53):

So still not extraordinarily high, but a lot higher than 4%. Uh, and then today the percentage has risen to about 30%. Um, so I, I, I will say though, of course, there's another 30% of the white population who will resist any policy that seeks to improve conditions for black Americans. Uh, but I think that if there is 70%, that is in a position of, uh, of flexibility or some degree of open-mindedness, it makes it possible to create an American majority that favors reparations. And so, uh, from that standpoint, uh, the, the pendulum is swinging in the right direction, but we have to keep pushing it in that direction.

Speaker 2 (00:34:40):

We have a question from out, oh, Dr. Did you have

Speaker 5 (00:34:43):

Something you wanted to add and agree with Dr. Dare that a federal job guarantee is tremendously popular right now in all of these surveys that have been done. There's also been some movement in Congress and we in Congress as well, that I think is promising it's something to build upon. Uh, there is a companion, uh, effort on the health side or the federal job geed development act, which was to do a pilot of a job guarantee in 15 cities. Um, I had a, uh, the opportunity to, uh, be at the capital when that was presented in September of 2019, uh, Congressman rush. Um, uh, and others were there, uh, for that, but also in February of this year, Congresswoman Ayanna Presley, um, presented the, uh, federal job presented in Congress of federal job guarantee, re resolution. Um, you can read about the federal job guarantee resolution.

Speaker 5 (00:35:46):

We're actually working with, um, uh, folks working on the policy to develop, uh, federal job guarantee legislation. I'm really excited about that kind of work, and we're going around the country, um, uh, getting, uh, asking members of Congress to sign on to that resolution. And, uh, that's really promising the last thing that I wanna say. And I find this really promising. We are seeing members of Congress big begin to openly embrace the core insights of modern monetary theory. Um, the chair of the house budget committee, Congressman John Yarmouth, I believe it was July the 17th of this year, talked about modern monetary theory in an explicit way, and really underscore the core insights that the federal government is not constrained by revenue. This is really important because as professor Dardy and others who are promoting reparations, as those of us who are advocating a federal job guarantee and other activists, we're talking about a green, new deal, Medicare for all, or free public healthcare, the question of how would we pay

Economic Power And Economic Security

for it is always going to come up. And so I'm encouraged that we're seeing members of Congress holding public and private meetings with some of the, uh, principal MMT economists to understand this very important, um, economic framework, uh, as we go forward. So I'm really excited and encouraged by those developments as well.

Speaker 2 (00:37:25):

Thank you, Dr. Coates. Uh, we have a question from one of the members of our audience. Let me read it and see, who wants to respond. Looks like Dr. Darity doc regarding reparations. We often focus on the governments involved. What are your thoughts and strategies around pursuing, uh, corporate and private enemies who have directly participated in and benefited or profited from the transatlantic slave trade, uh, slavery, et cetera.

Speaker 3 (00:37:56):

So, first of all, the case for reparations is not exclusively based upon slavery. Uh, one of the critical periods of American history that has to be addressed is the, is the nearly century long, uh, period of, of legal segregation in the United States, which was accompanied by upwards of 100 massacres that took place from the end of the civil war to the beginning of world war II, uh, massacres that resulted in extensive loss of black lives, but also the appropriation and seizure of black property, uh, by the white mobsters. And, uh, and when, when, uh, professor Nihar was talking about, uh, black cooperatives and the, uh, efforts that black the black community has made internally to try to accumulate wealth and economic security, we also have to recognize that there is a history of white violence that has destroyed a significant amount of those efforts. Uh, and so, uh, that's what we have to incorporate into the case for reparations.

Speaker 3 (00:39:01):

And then in the post-Civil rights era, we have mass incarceration. We have ongoing, uh, police executions of armed blacks. Uh, we have ongoing discrimination and credit housing and employment markets. Uh, so it, it's not exclusively slavery. Now that said, uh, I'm entirely in favor of, uh, any of these corporate entities or colleges and universities that have a history of complicity with slavery and the slave trade, uh, making whatever financial contribution or social contribution they can make to the improvement of the conditions of the black American community. Uh, but what's, what's problematic is I don't think it's possible to, to force them to do so. Uh, and, and that's because, uh, even though all of the practices that they engaged in were deeply imoral, they were entirely legal under the statutes of United States law. And, uh, so then we have to address which entity is responsible for imoral X being legal and that's the federal government ultimately.

Speaker 3 (00:40:15):

Uh, so the federal government is the culpable party, uh, but also the federal government is the capable party. Uh, as, as, as, uh, Dr. Ko just pointed out, uh, only the federal government is not constrained by its tax base or its revenue sources. Uh, that's not the case for state and local governments. And if you were to take the combined budgets of all state and local governments, it would amount to 3.1 trillion. And, uh, I've already said that what's required to close the racial wealth gap is somewhere in the vicinity of 12 to \$14 trillion. What about individual private donors? Well, if private donors put \$1 billion into a fund on a monthly basis, it would require nine centuries to get to \$11 trillion. So if we are going to try to address a, uh, differential and wealth of this magnitude, then we have to focus on the federal government as being the agent to

Economic Power And Economic Security

do it. Uh, other entities can make whatever contributions they they're willing to make. Uh, but if we really want to address this problem, it's gotta

Speaker 2 (00:41:32):

Be the federal government. Thank you. I have a question. Um, Dr. Coach, you said that certain members of Congress seem to have been, um, embracing the insights of MMT. The notion that a government, that princess on currency is not in the same position as a household can spend what it wants as long as inflation does not get out of hand. What in your judgment is keeping, um, more members of Congress from embracing the insights of MMT and the notion that, um, the government can spend as much as it wants subject to the constraint of conflation.

Speaker 5 (00:42:15):

Very good question. Um, where shall I begin? Well, I think first the conventional, uh, household budget model for spending, it's sort of common sense. It's how most people tend to think about, uh, finance. When people think about their, their home budgeting, they think, oh, well, I need revenue first in order to spend. And so one of the challenges when it comes to thinking about the federal government spending capacity is that it really represents a paradigm shift. And so, um, sort of getting people to sort of unlearn everything that they've learned about, uh, finance can be challenging, but, but the other thing I think it's important is I get asked often if the federal government lit literally has the power of the public purse to create money, why doesn't it do it? Um, if, if we could end, if we could end poverty inequality fund, a federal job guarantee provide free public healthcare, why doesn't the federal government do it?

Speaker 5 (00:43:21):

And I think it's important to help people to understand. And, and I mean this, I mean this without sort of disparaging this sector of the economy, I think it's important to help people to understand that the financial sector is incentivized whenever the federal government under utilizes its money creation power for public priorities, because whenever the federal government does not provide us with adequate funding to address public priorities, that means then that states, uh, counties and cities and individuals will have to borrow money. Think about the current debates around infrastructure spending earlier this year, uh, members in Congress said that the need was about 6 trillion. And now we're compromising with a compromise settling for perhaps 1.5 to 2 trillion. The question is if the need is 6 trillion and we settle on, let's say 2 trillion, where will the other \$4 trillion come from? Well, it'll come from states and municipalities having to go to wall street issue bonds to borrow the money.

Speaker 5 (00:44:32):

So I believe that in part, the reticence to address this issue comes from the way in which the financial sector is incentivized to have the public relying on money created through bank lending rather than having money created through public spending and our campaign that our money campaign is really designed to have to help shift the way in which we have an economy where most of the medium of exchange, most of what we think of and use as money is created by banks when they make loans. And so the reason I believe many members of Congress, many thought leaders and policy makers struggle with this is because it means changing and shifting our unnecessary reliance on money created through bank lending, uh, in order to rely on money created through public spending.

Speaker 2 (00:45:29):

Economic Power And Economic Security

Thank you. Well, we have a couple more questions from our audience. One, let me read this one to whoever wants to answer it. What can we do as individuals to help in our communities with making economic development easier for the underserved, anybody, ally, do you have a thought on that? Yeah,

Speaker 4 (00:45:49):

I have a couple. Yeah. I have a couple of thought on that. I think the, um, everyone can, I think everyone has a role to play. Um, and some of these ideas aren't particularly new, but there is relevant today as they've ever been. So one, I, I think it, it is important to hold our public, our, our public officials to account in terms of saying bang on the table, what are you doing to increase the level of access financial resource? And we just launched in Los Angeles, the Angelino, uh, connect account, which is a, a free bank account. That's focused on the half a million people, 500,000 people that are unbanked in Los Angeles. And mayor Garett said, this is the most important thing I've done in my 20 years as an elected official in eight years as your mayor. And that came about because people were pushing the envelope on that.

Speaker 4 (00:46:42):

So that's one idea. Second there's tremendous opportunity with financial services organizations in communities called CDFIs. And Paul, I know you're very familiar with the C DFI infrastructure, um, and we need to make those, uh, entities visible to people who wanna start businesses and to people who wanna buy homes. And I do also think that it's important for, um, us to support in, in a variety of ways, whether they're the corner store or to the construction company, to the consulting firm, we've gotta support black businesses, um, because it's stronger. The black, the black businesses are going back to the earlier notion of cooperative economics, you know, black folks hire black people. And if you've got a thriving company, you have the ability to, to that, that that entity has an ability to, to create jobs. And it's funny, I was on a panel a couple weeks ago, and someone asked, Hey, you know, how many jobs have you created?

Speaker 4 (00:47:41):

And I hadn't really thought about that in, I build our company in that way, but, you know, we've, we've, we've generated, you know, 40 jobs, not all black, but a lot, most people are black. Um, and I'm proud of that. So anyway, that's a way of, of that we can all have local impacts. Um, and, um, the other thing that I worry a lot about from a a day to day perspective is just, you know, we, as a community have a credit score, black folks credits only 25% of us have credit scores greater than 700. And, um, I know, and versus our white counterparts, which the numbers like 50% and, and the truth is we're more of us are, are, are, um, higher credit scores than that. And so, you know, I think really is, is, and there are solutions. We've got some, but we really demanding that, that financial institutions start looking at different ways of underwriting and understanding our communities. And it starts about Fannie Mae doing some interesting stuff in that space, but, you know, holding entities to account to say, Hey, you need to start to expand your box. Cause it's, it's not a zero sum game. It's not like if you lend money to somebody it's reducing the amount of money can lend to somebody else. The whole, the whole, the whole ecosystem gets stronger. So anyway, I think holding people to account in your communities is also an important thing that we can all do.

Speaker 3 (00:49:06):

Uh, I think it's, it's vital that people take whatever constructive steps they can. Uh, but I also think it's important that we recognize that, uh, the consequences of these steps are going to be

Economic Power And Economic Security

limited in terms of their, their full outcome. Uh, it is not possible for the black community, with its current level of resources to close the racial wealth gap through its own actions. It simply is not. And I think we have to be honest about that and thinking about all of these types of actions or steps that people might take. I think people don't fully appreciate how small the scope of existing black businesses is. Uh, if you were to take the 21 black banks that are extent in the present moment, they have a total level of assets of slightly less than 5 billion. We were to look at JP Morgan, chase alone, for whom you, you formally worked Mr.

Speaker 3 (00:50:08):

Cox, uh, they have in excess of 3 trillion in assets, the 250th ranked white owned bank in the United States, BN Mar trust has a higher level of assets than all 21 black owned business. Uh, black owned banks combined. If you look at retail businesses, all of the black owned retail businesses in conjunction have less than half of the retail sales of Walmart taken alone. And so if we start talking about trying to close the racial wealth gap through the route of business development, greater savings activity and the like we're really whistling in the wind. So I'm not saying that people should not try to take those steps. I would encourage that we gotta do whatever we can given the current circumstances. But I think we have to be honest that this is not going to do very much about addressing this gaping chasm and wealth. We have to turn to a reparations program.

Speaker 5 (00:51:12):

Paul Paul, if, if I, if I may, if it's okay, I really wanna reiterate that Dr. D's piece, what we get wrong about the racial wealth gap is critically important for folks to read. You can get it online, just Google it. What we get wrong about the racial wealth gap is to short read, maybe 50 to 60 pages. It it's so powerful because it goes through all of the typical arguments that are used, that we always hear on the street about how, uh, about what we need to address racial disparities in America. People saying, oh, if, if all the black millionaires and billionaires, which there aren't that many, you know, put their money in a pot, you know, then we could get out of poverty and we could address all of our challenge. It, uh, education. It it's critically important for people to get that piece.

Speaker 5 (00:52:03):

But also what's important is the opening insight. Yes, I agree. We need, we need to use all of these strategies in tandem. This is not about a either or thing it's about both end. However, the structural piece is very important because the way I envision it is if you put money in my pocket, but there's a hole in the pocket, then it doesn't matter what you put in the pocket, right? So there are a range of measures that don't close the hole that don't close the gaps in our economy that cause resources and wealth or income to, to go through our hands and go right out of our community. And as important as the, as, as a range of measures are, we have got to close the gap, the pocket, the, the, the whole in our economic pocket, so that we can maintain. And I think that's gonna come from these big, bold policy ideas that ultimately come have to be addressed by the federal government.

Speaker 5 (00:53:09):

And, and I wanna say this about the federal government. When we talk about the federal government, we're talking about our government, this is not them. This is not their government. It's like, oh, well, why are you relying on them? No, the federal government is us. It's ours, right? When we drive on roads, when we send our children to school, when we talk about harnessing

Economic Power And Economic Security

our public power, we're not talking about their money, we're talking about our money, our power. And so I think it's important. This is a very important dynamic that has been used to delegitimize the role of government in society and the black community in particular. And so I think that we need to reclaim and re legitimize the role of government if we're gonna be free today.

Speaker 3 (00:53:55):

Yeah. I'd like to add that it's the, uh, it's, it's it's national public policy that created the racial wealth gap. And so I'm insistent that it's national public policy that has to address it. Uh, if you go back to the end of the civil war, the formerly enslaved were promised 40 acre land grants as restitution for their years of bondage. It's a promise that wasn't kept, but at the same time, one and a half million white families were given 160 acre land grants in the Western territories under the homestead act of 19 of 1862. And that's the beginning of the racial wealth gap. It's a consequence of concerted and intentional government policy, uh, in, in the 20th century, the federal government shifts away from, uh, land allocation as the asset building mechanism to home ownership. And then when it implements its home ownership, promotion policies, it does.

Speaker 3 (00:54:58):

So in a grossly discriminatory way, I'm thinking about, first of all, the federal housing administration's, uh, public private partnership with local banks that resulted in red redlining and credit starvation for black communities or the, uh, discriminatory application of the GI bill in the aftermath of world war II, where the home ownership provisions were overwhelmingly given to the white veterans and not given to the black veterans. And so it's, it's public policy that created this intergenerational disadvantage for black Americans. It's not our behavior or practices. Uh, when we have attempted to build wealth, it frequently has been destroyed or stolen. And so, uh, as a consequence, it's the federal government that's responsible for changing things, but yes, it's critical to remember that the federal government is a product of the people's decisions. As long as we live in a society where we have majority rule, of course, science of January 6th, there was a major and concerted effort to impose minority rule in the United States.

Speaker 2 (00:56:13):

Thank you. Well, on that, we have another domain question from the audience. What do you think the prospects are for moving our reparations at internet through Congress right now?

Speaker 3 (00:56:24):

Oh, they're, they're N < laugh> in the current Congress, but that's, that's why part of the critical struggle is changing, who is in Congress.

Speaker 2 (00:56:35):

Okay. Another question will teaching our youth financial literacy, help them realize the impact of economic power. In other words, is an education component to our understanding the issues and solutions.

Speaker 4 (00:56:49):

Well, yeah, I, I think, you know, it, it's, it's important from my vantage point for, for all of us young people in particular, to understand the history that got us to this place in the first place. Right. And to reiterate, not to, not to, not to, not to, not to go back, but to just emphasize professor D's point, we, we, this in my mind in many ways, America's working as constructed, which is why we find ourselves in this situation. And, uh, and I think it's important for young

Economic Power And Economic Security

people to understand that, um, because that becomes the movement of the future in terms of, uh, uh, change policy. But at the same time, you know, I think it's, it's, it's an and not an or right. And that it is important to understand, um, within the constraints of the environment that we find ourselves, that there are economic opportunities for people, and they should know what those are and position themselves to take advantage of that, right?

Speaker 4 (00:57:52):

Whether it's how to accumulate wealth through investment, whether it's, how to, um, make a, a, a purchase of a home and understanding what the tools are that are additive as opposed to extractive. So I think there's a, there's an educational component that talks about the financial services, if you will, and from a very much a blocking and tackling. And at the same time, there's an, a need to understand the history. So people understand what's possible and can have a vision towards what they're working for in terms of their own energies and attitudes to change the structural framework that exists in this country.

Speaker 2 (00:58:33):

Okay. Another question, as was mentioned by you, Dr. Doherty and you, Dr. Coates, uh, opponents of reparations are, and, or federal job guarantee likely to claim that the government can't afford these proposals. Can you tell us a little bit more about MMT and how it can be an effective response to these arguments? Um, this is sort of a, sort of a groundbreaking idea, as I understand, and I think some of our audience members wanna learn a little bit more about it.

Speaker 3 (00:59:04):

Well, I think the government has already implemented MMT and principles. Uh, if you go back to the great recession of 2007, federal government made massive expenditures to try toes address that crisis without taxes. If you look at the pandemic period, uh, the American rescue plan, uh, the, uh, the, um, cares act, which preceded the American rescue plan were all legislative efforts to engage in massive amounts of spending by the federal government. Again, without raising taxes. Uh, the only barrier to additional federal spending is the risk of inflation, as, as you mentioned earlier, and, uh, we can design federal expenditure programs in such a way that we can minimize the inflation risk, uh, in, in our book from here to equality. When we talk about funding reparations, we propose that one strategy is to have it, uh, distributed across the period of 10 years, uh, as, as a mechanism for minimizing the inflation risk. We also talk about the possibility that rather than making direct cash payments, that you provide people with less liquid assets so that you could minimize the amount of, of, of immediate spending that might take place. And so we talk about the possibility of giving people, uh, the funds in the form of a trust account or an annuity of some sort. Uh, so, uh, but, but the, the government has already implemented spending and spending practices that are consistent with the principles of MMT.

Speaker 5 (01:00:52):

You know, whenever I conduct presentations around the country on MMT, I'm always asked, can you tell me what country in the world sort of practices modern monetary theory. And I say, America, and everyone's stopped shocked, and they're stunned. And I think that's because people think modern monetary theory is an alternative economic system, and it's not, MMT is merely a description of how our economy actually works. That's all it is. Um, it does cause us to change the way in which we've been told and taught to think about how money is created, how money is to be used, but it is merely a description of how the economy actually works today. And we've seen right before our very eyes, the country create 5 trillion in response to COVID 19, without

Economic Power And Economic Security

sending anyone a bill, the federal government created \$5 trillion with a tea in a matter of weeks, uh, Warren buffet, Jeff Bezos, bill gates, Jay-Z Beyonce, Oprah, no one received the bill in order to fund that stimulus.

Speaker 5 (01:02:04):

And so the American people get it right now, people on the street understand that we have been misled, uh, when it comes to the sort of false economics of scarcity that has been promulgated here to, for, and the public is desperate. They're hungry for new insights and new understanding. So whenever you're a member of Congress says Medicare for all is unaffordable. Whenever they, whenever they tell us the lie that, um, we're borrowing money from China and we're kicking the can down the road for our children and our grandchildren to, to, uh, to pay whenever they point to the debt clock in New York, as a basis for why we can't afford public priorities, it's all a lie. We've been misled about the capacity of the federal government by making people think that the federal government's wallet is like my parents, right? So my parents, uh, I could give them a huge list of clothes that I wanted to, to go back to school.

Speaker 5 (01:03:08):

And my parents would say, Hmm, it totals \$3,000, but you have 300. And I had to fit my desires within the budget that my parents gave me. Well, that's how my parents' household budget works. But the federal government's capacity to spend does not work like that. And actually we should want more and not less federal government spending the deficit is merely a record of the nation's money supply. We ought to, uh, uh, it is merely a record of how much money the federal government has invested in public priorities, you know, since the beginning. So if the national debt clock is 28, 20 9 trillion, we, that is not a record of how much we owe. It's merely a record of how much the federal government has invested in public priorities. And whenever the federal government is in deficit, we're in surplus. And with that surplus, we can spend in small businesses, uh, we can stimulate our economy.

Speaker 5 (01:04:06):

And more importantly, we can Relu reduce our household reliance on debt. The average American is working 40 to 50 hours a week to pay back interest on borrowed money, on a mortgage, a school loan, a car note, uh, and credit cards and to some degree their taxes. And so we need to get the American people out of debt and economic bondage. And that's only gonna come from harnessing the power of the public purse for public priorities, the same member, some of the same members of Congress who are talking about, um, this spending is unaffordable had nothing to say in 2017, when president Trump proposed those tax expenditures, I wanna strongly encourage those of you listening to get the article dog whistles and deficits. We just had some of the nations leading black economists, Dr. DDY, Dr. Derek Hamilton and Dr. Nina banks, some of our best and brightest minds, uh, co uh, author cosign and article entitled dog whistles and deficits to talk about the way in which whenever spending is done for poor people or black and brown people. All of a sudden this spending becomes unaffordable in the aftermath of the civil rights movement. When it became unpopular to be overtly racist until Trump, there were these dog whistles that are used to deny and hold up, spending for priorities that will benefit black and brown people. And those dog whistles have to do with the deficit and the debt and fiscal responsibility. We can change this to build an economy to work for us rather than an economy that works against us.

Speaker 2 (01:05:52):

Economic Power And Economic Security

Thank you. We've got time for a couple more questions. I have an interesting one here, given the key position, internationalization plays in wealth creation, be it modern multinational companies or the slave trade and industrial revolution, shouldn't, Africa's growth and potential to benefit from this come up in this conversation. In other words, what about Africa in this discussion of wealth creation and economic progress in the black community?

Speaker 3 (01:06:20):

Well, I'm not sure how it connects directly to the black community in the United States, but I've been consistent in saying that virtually all communities in the diaspora, the black or African diaspora have a claim for reparations, but not necessarily a claim on the United States government. So, uh, there is, there's clearly, uh, a claim that virtually all of the nations of Africa can make on the respective countries that colonize them. Uh, the countries of the Caribbean can all make claims on the countries that colonized and enslaved their ancestors. Uh, so, uh, that, that's how I would answer that question is, uh, to the extent that, uh, repairative justice can promote economic growth in other countries, uh, particularly those countries that have been subjected to the oppression of colonization, uh, then that's associated with the particular claim that they make for restitution from the countries that have been the culpable party, uh, in the context of their particular historical experience.

Speaker 2 (01:07:32):

Thank you. Um, we have one final question. I think this is for Dr. Uh MHAR. What would it take to get the American school system to educate our youth about cooperative economic development, in other words, working together for our mutual benefit?

Speaker 6 (01:07:54):

Hi, um, great question. I think, um, a couple things, one, we can start small. We can start by getting, um, school based and after school and community based programs to get young people starting their own co-ops, which a great education, right? It gives them education about how to start and run a co-op. It also helps them to see why they need math and reading and writing, um, and helps motivate keep them in school. So we can do that sort of outside. Um, we can also get, um, programs within school because we also know that the latest pedagogy actually talks about how important it is for people to learn together, right. And collective cooperative learning is actually an important Pedago tool. So we can use the, um, current pedagogies to suggest that curriculum need to change instead of making it cheating, to consult with another student, right.

Speaker 6 (01:08:55):

It's learn, you know, it's collective learning and, and using that. And I say that because that's part of the strategy for cooperative economics is to just learn how to think together, how to, um, make decisions together, that kind of thing. So we just need some of the basic sort of socialization about how to work together, develop social capital. So if we can focus on that, that's the easiest thing we can, as I said, bring in the latest pedagogies that say this is better. And then once we start there, and especially if we have young people doing their own co-ops, then we can get communities also demanding that there actually be, um, official cooperative economics training, um, in the histories that I've done. Um, we've actually had black community groups that did their own, started their own courses. We have some groups that actually put an after school program in or an evening classes where they started to teach their own programs.

Speaker 6 (01:09:49):

Economic Power And Economic Security

And then that got so, uh, well attended that then it was integrated back into the standard curriculum. So those kinds of things of starting it on our own, getting it motivated by young people, doing it already, and then getting their young people and their parents to demand it, I think are probably the, the most practical ways. Um, we already have good curricula out there. We already have other countries that teach it in school. So it's not like we have to start from scratch. It's really back to that. Will, I think everyone's been talking about this, right? All this stuff is possible. It's the, we have to change the people, the policy makers will, right. We all know, you all have talked brilliantly about how we can do all this stuff. Now. It's about how do we convince people to do it.

Speaker 2 (01:10:37):

Thank you very much. Well, I'm told it's time to wrap up our panel. I wanna thank you all for joining us. Um, I hope our audience found our panel encouraging as well as informative food for thought and for hope. Um, I wanna thank our panelists in particular for, um, their very, very valuable insights. And I wanna thank the organizers, the conference itself for making this panel, um, possible, um, and a special shout out to those technologists. You know, who you are will help me get on <laugh>, uh, to use this, um, technology with which I'm not that familiar success with. So once again, thank you for joining us, and I hope you take this, um, this panel's thoughts with you and renew determination to change the economic future of our community. Thanks a lot.

Speaker 6 (01:11:31):

Have a good day, everybody. Thanks.

Speaker 2 (01:11:33):

Thanks. Take care.